

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-088

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

May 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner violated the judicial code by disclaiming his private trust in a criminal mental health hearing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 23, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-088

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]


Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge of the Rule 11 Court violated his Oath of Loyalty to the United States Constitution and well established Federal Law and Arizona Rules of Judicial Conduct knowingly and willingly. The Legal Maxim "Ignorance of the Law is no excuse" is hereby being invoked, because if that maxim can apply to criminal defendants, it much more should apply to a judge who enforces law for a living, who's job is to know the fundamental principles of Justice. Knowingly and willingly committed fraud on the court to deceive me into believing the equitable defense I've put forth was "moot" and went thru extreme measures to attempt to confuse and mislead me, assisting the STATE violating Canon 1.1, Canon 2.2 and Rule 2.3(A)(B)(C), making affirmative statements of misrepresentation, allowing the STATE'S representatives to harass me, disclaiming my Private Trust, and injuring me, the Beneficiary, causing irreparable harm. I was found competent by doctors but the judge lied and said he had not seen or reviewed the doctors submissions, allowing the STATE to harm me, calling me a "to avoid the equitable relief I'm entitled to by clearly established Federal law. He has Knowledge of my Trust and the Manifestation of Intent was clearly made but he assisted the state by LYING stating the Court issued an order declining jurisdiction over my suit

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For Declaratory Judgment do have my Trust declared valid and to have me be declared as Beneficiary of said trust. He then asked the STATE and my corrupt ineffective assistance of counsel if they also reviewed the Order, which both lied and agreed they also seen the non existant order. I asked him again for confirmation, he again lied. I then challenged jurisdiction and Demanded to know what Article III jurisdiction does the Court exercise. He agreed that he was exercising military war powers over a private American who is not in the military and refused to prove Article III jurisdiction. I told him the Court has declined to endorse non-Article III Hypothetical "statutory" jurisdiction in a long venerable line of cases and quoted Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment No. 96-643, where Justice held that a court may not decide cause of action before resolving whether the court has Article III jurisdiction, but he declined to follow clearly established federal law and violated his Oath and the Constitution by not adhering to the Supreme Law of the Land by acting Ultra Vires willingly violating the principles of Separation of Powers. I will be applying for Quo Warranto with the Attorney Generals Office.

I called the court right after the court hearing and the clerk said they never sent any order stating they declined jurisdiction. Just as I said in court, they transferred the cause from ACE to CV being Equity has exclusive jurisdiction over Trust and Property rights.