

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-075

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace failed to dismiss a wrongfully convicted individual. There is also an allegation that the justice of the peace is an accomplice to cybertrafficking with several law enforcement officers.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." *See* comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or

award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, and Joseph C. Kreamer considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 23, 2025.

US/AZ and Judicial Complaints

Complaint towards:

Judge

Where: Municipal Court at

Judge has had an excessive amount of time to remove false charges or exonerate a victim that she is aware is being framed with fraudulent evidence, fraudulent and a false bogus report with no witnesses at all. With that information, she should have dismissed the case. When individuals are wrongfully convicted, forced to pay fraudulent fines, lose time from work, lose time with family, be forced to go into her court room multiple times and listen to all the vile embarrassing things with other people that are listening to 100% false lies turns into being force and being forced to do things they should not be forced to do. That victim in her courtroom will never get back or paid back the lost time, the lost money, the gas used and paid for, the parking fees, the time off work to be publicly humiliated what was stolen from him or her. It's robbery. The state of Arizona will never pay back, and you can never pay back the time stolen from a wrongfully convicted person.


I want Judge to understand what it feels like to be a female and have all of her female rights STOLEN from her, her FREEDOM, her right to speech (her ability to speak without being heard by the male sector), her right to due process, her right to do as she pleases in the privacy of her own home, her right to work or not work, her right to vote, her right to have a driver's license or not have one. I want her to know she took ALL the ABOVE away from me. I have a beautiful smart daughter she's young she had a lot going for her. Most girls were jealous of that. She took that from my daughter too. She will never ever begin to understand what she was a part of and how she holds the nail that goes into a wrongfully convicted persons coffin. It is a sad day for ALL Women in Arizona.

Judge is very aware of her law enforcement retaliates if they receive a complaint and how they bring an excessive number of personnel to assist them in targeting any female who complains about one of them. She is also aware of the extra number of Police who were fired a few years ago by Chief and she is also aware of County Sheriff's Deputies and how they do favors for each other and how lethal can be.

Judge is an accomplice to cyber trafficking, she is networking with several other law enforcement officers from various departments and trafficking victims online to dangerous predator websites. She is distributing victims' social security numbers, phone numbers, employers' information, salary information to several third party agencies and rogue off-duty officers with County.

My family has been targeted for months to months possibly longer. When any law enforcement officer faces jail time for committing extortion, kidnapping, and exploitation he or she will do anything to get rid of the victim(s). False police reports, and evidence, sentencing and fines is racketeering and fraud. Please investigate her cases and see how many other victims there are out there that have been wrongfully convicted.

AZ



RECEIVED

AZ ATTORNEY GENERAL
MAIL ROOM
BUILDING

