

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-070

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court pro tem failed to prevent a trustee sale of the house when there was evidence that Complainant had filed a claim in federal court.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." *See* comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or

award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, and Joseph C. Kreamer considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 23, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-070

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Executiv

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

*See attached Live Testimony &
Affidant of Truth & Facts...*

Prepared By:

IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

PLAINTIFF,

V.

Sui Juris
FROM THE EXECUTOR'S
OFFICE OF THE FAMILY
ESTATE

§ Case #
§
§
§
§ Writ of Coram Nobis
§ Notice of Appeal for
§ Misapplication of Law
§ Abuse of Discretion
§ Violation of Due Process
§ Treasonous Act Administrated by a Rogue Judge
§
§
§

NOTICE OF APPEAL

"AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH AND FACTS OF LIVE TESTIMONY"

1. Judge _____ in the initial hearing on the _____ of _____ at _____ made a one-sided decision to proceed to a trial setting it for _____ at _____ despite receiving sufficient and conclusive evidence from our Response to the _____ FRAUDULENT CLAIM. I filed my Federal District Lawsuit into this case, along with our Responses, as evidence I had a Federal case already moving forward on the matter and also it was to prove the Federal District Court ONLY has jurisdiction on THIS matter. We also filed all the documents that prove we already paid in full for our property outright because it had already been paid and accepted. During the hearing Judge _____ stated that " _____ even though the higher court has jurisdiction, which is a LIE!!!! and she effectively ignored us and our Response during the hearing and on the record when we stated for the record that she cannot have jurisdiction over this matter which DOES NOT GIVE HER THE AUTHORITY to adjudicate an order nor a judgement without it being VOID. Our due process rights were violated ab initio by the Judge's failure to properly do her job and follow the

law. By not using our evidence presented on the record and in the response filed into the court, Judge _____ inherently ignored the evidence that a Federal District Case has Jurisdiction over this matter to suit her corruption taking place in real time. Judge _____ insisted during the hearing, that despite our filings showing evidence, she was going to Strike it all because "

" She decided on her own to strike conclusive and substantiated evidence that was filed into this case for and on the record violating the Constitution and our Civil Rights that she took an Oath to uphold; evidently violating her own SF-61. She stated what we filed on the record was "ex parte" communication, which is a false statement, as WE are the Aggrieved Party of interest and NOT the ones who brought the case. Therefore, it cannot be an ex parte communication. This situation is indescribable that I should have to be going through this incredible Corruption and Fraud. Judge _____ committed treason in her courtroom violating and breaching equity and trust law by acting as Judge, Jury, and Executioner by practicing law from the bench and not honoring evidence proving that I already paid for my home to the bank for the debt to be discharged and it was honored by _____ when I received a release of lien and satisfaction of mortgage. Only to learn much later that they wanted to double dip and suddenly I found out that the lawyer was going to auction off my house in secrecy which led to me filing the Federal lawsuit. This means I was never notified that they were selling my house in secrecy and they still wanted the property to be auctioned off even after I filed my Federal Case which comes from the book fruit of the poisonous tree.

2. During the hearing, on the record she used our response filed into the case by questioning how we were Executor and Executrix respectively. Then she continued to explain "

" She effectively decided to go rogue and push us into a Fraudulent Trial despite her Oath and to remain without bias as her job entails and yet took it upon herself to make up rules based on a biased decision, which she needs to be disqualified for as it relates to the final order.

3. Judge _____ has committed Treasonous Violations under Title 28 USC §454 and §455 as she attempted to move the Court without any evidence all while practicing law from the bench and clearly indicating she has an interest in the Eviction Case moving forward without proof from the Plaintiff's who are Defendants in the Federal District Court because she knew it would stop her from moving forward with her Fraudulent trial.

4. We were forced to pay a filing fee to file the response that Judge _____ struck from the record to the Plaintiff's Fraudulent Claim, which is Extortion under the Hobbs Act, and when I asked, " _____ ' The new Clerk lied and said, it's always been that way, but proceeded to overcharge us above what the website claims are the " _____ ."

5. We, the Executor and the Executrix of the _____ Family Estate, put in a response by the requested time Judge _____ projected to clearly state how we were Executrix and Executor of the _____ Family Estate including how we object to the Fraudulent claim, to the Fraudulent Trial, and requested the Judge to follow the rules of jurisdiction which disallow her to move forward with the Trial honorably requesting an immediate dismissal of the Fraudulent Claim which brought Fraud upon the Court or else Judge _____ would be enjoining herself by Aiding and Abetting in Grand Larceny.

6. Judge continued her Treasonous actions from the beginning of the trial on at when she refused to give us Standing to Speak stating that she " at around 1 minute and 30 seconds into the recording. Then she said, "

" This indicated to me that she DOES know exactly what I was asking because she knew quite well who we were as Executrix and Executor and we need standing to speak as her superiors, but her refusing to grant us standing then saying after that, "

" making a mistake as it was meant to be a trial, which clearly proves she was nervous on how to proceed because she knew she was not following due process and continuing to show guilt of a high misdemeanor by making up her own rules. When I stated at 2 minutes and 25 seconds that, I think you know very well what it means to having standing to speak," she ignored me and moved to Counsel to save her from having to do her job correctly.

7. When she asked Plaintiff's counsel, at 2 minutes and 40 seconds, asking "

" This question gives a full picture as to the degree this Judge decided to ignore her Oath of Office because she knew she was holding a trial that she does not have jurisdiction over, but decided to proceed again committing perjury, breaking our 5th and 14th Amendment to the Constitution for the United States and the 1st Amendment to the Arizona Constitution both of which guarantees no one shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law.

8. Continuing to proceed without handling the issue of allowing us to speak, Judge asked the Counsel if he was ready to proceed. He states that in fact he was, but at 2 minutes and 50 seconds before he made an opening he says, "

" This is Plaintiff's counsel committing perjury as the response that Judge Struck and ignored during the hearing was a question of Jurisdiction to wit, they NEVER HAD. Ignoring all our evidence as it was convenient for them to continue with a Fraudulent case, Fraudulent hearing and Fraudulent trial because our Federal District Court Case with the Plaintiff's name as Defendants was prima facie evidence against this lower court case to be barred from proceeding.

9. The Judge, the Plaintiff's and their counsel in the State case all proceeded without subject matter jurisdiction and/or personal jurisdiction as she never gave us standing to speak, yet she used our initial Notice of Response and the Amended Notice of Response to try and steal jurisdiction even though she never had it to begin with and she knew it, when she asked their counsel beginning at 4 minutes, "

copy." Judge says she denies Counsel's motion for a judgement and says, "

10. At or around 6 minutes Counsel states that the "

' They cannot have Superior Right of Possession of the property after saying that Defendants are still in the property and refuse to leave because that means they DO NOT have possession of the property which is why he is asking for an order to take possession of the property and steal our property from us illegally and unlawfully.

11. At 7 minutes, we make an objection to Counsel's opening statement, and when I asked the Judge who is recording she states "

" When she permits me to continue. I state that "

" She continues to ask me, "

" Around 8 minutes, I respond, "

" to which she responds. "

" I respond to her ridiculous statement, "

" To which she responds, "

" I repeat, "

" To which she finishes "

" I finish by stating, "

" To which she continues at 9 minutes and 50 seconds. "

" I respond.

"

' and she responds, "

' This goes on until

10 minutes 55 seconds when Judge

says, "

' I respond to her, "

' She responds, "

" I say,"

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**