

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-054

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 18, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge refused to rule on motions to withdraw counsel in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer, Regina L. Nassen, and Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 18, 2025.

2025-054

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Commission,

On \_\_\_\_\_, a status conference was set before \_\_\_\_\_. Together, \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ raised falsehood, accusing \_\_\_\_\_ of violating her release conditions by contacting the alleged victim, \_\_\_\_\_, because his criminal defense attorney, \_\_\_\_\_ had accepted service of the Order of Protection from a \_\_\_\_\_ County Constable, \_\_\_\_\_ petitioned to Cairo to quash \_\_\_\_\_ Order of Protection against the alleged victim while concealing the fact that the Order of Protection/Injunction Against Harassment was due to rape, battery, assault, threats, and criminal harassment, attempting to interfere with criminal proceedings throughout the proceedings before \_\_\_\_\_ In response, \_\_\_\_\_ admonished \_\_\_\_\_ without opportunity to be heard.

On \_\_\_\_\_, a Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and a Response to Motion to Withdraw was entered into record and assigned to \_\_\_\_\_. The Response informed \_\_\_\_\_ of misconduct throughout the criminal proceedings and provided thorough information surrounding the Order of Protection raised during the \_\_\_\_\_ hearing.

The Motion and Response was to be addressed before the \_\_\_\_\_ Settlement Conference with Hon. \_\_\_\_\_, if not, at the next status conference set for \_\_\_\_\_, or even at the status conference set for \_\_\_\_\_. Instead, \_\_\_\_\_ made an intentional decision to not once—but twice—not hear or rule on the Motion and Response and to blatantly disregard \_\_\_\_\_ time, efforts, and resources with having to reappear in court four (4) times in two weeks [allowing for counsel to be paid for appearances], only to pass off the matter to be heard before \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_. Had allowed \_\_\_\_\_ to be heard at the \_\_\_\_\_ status conference, it would have allowed \_\_\_\_\_ to vacate wasteful, subsequent status conferences for the month.

\_\_\_\_\_, Defendant's Motion For Hearing To Address Prosecutorial Misconduct and Malicious Interference, assigned to \_\_\_\_\_ was filed various times due to repeated REJECTED notices. On \_\_\_\_\_, it was refiled and ACCEPTED into record after having phoned the clerk of court. It must be noted, Defendant's Request for Settlement Conference with Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ was ACCEPTED \_\_\_\_\_ in the same format of the Motion mentioned above.

On \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ struck both the Defendant's Motion For Hearing To Address Prosecutorial Misconduct and Malicious Interference and Defendant's Request for Settlement Conference with Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ prevented \_\_\_\_\_ from being heard and refused to address the continuous misconduct, coercion, and lack of safety of \_\_\_\_\_ that continues to take place before the court. \_\_\_\_\_ was not able to express the concerns of prosecutorial misconduct and malicious interference of \_\_\_\_\_ concerns with the prosecutor, \_\_\_\_\_ engaging her in unwanted conversation before the \_\_\_\_\_ hearing, nor the current concern for conflict of interest. (See attached: Defendant's Motion to Change Appointed Counsel with Exhibits)

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

\_\_\_\_\_ has lacked discretion in overseeing this case as outlined in the attached exhibits. \_\_\_\_\_ has admonished \_\_\_\_\_ in court over victim's rights when she is the victim of harassment, as well as rape, and domestic violence from the alleged victim.

\_\_\_\_\_ rights have been VIOLATED, including the violation of her right to a speedy trial [in which it has always been waived against her wishes], prevention from reviewing state's discovery, and to say the least, the blatant disregard of \_\_\_\_\_ concern of the time sensitive Motion to Challenge the Grand Jury Proceedings as filed on \_\_\_\_\_, that—to the date of this Judicial Complaint—has never been heard by \_\_\_\_\_.

At every turn, \_\_\_\_\_ has presented an immediate deflection and barricade to prevent \_\_\_\_\_ concerns from being addressed, due to what appears to be born out of self preservation, and a need to protect and safeguard the personal and professional interests of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_.

It is a well-known, unfortunate fact, that judges and prosecutors tend to be biased against defendants, especially Pro se defendants, in which they are shamed and stigmatized for being an advocate for oneself—this must change. Moreover, it is rare lest a verbal reprimand be given to such people sworn to uphold the constitution, to be fair and impartial, and to uphold the law. Nevertheless, it is most imperative this incident is reported and recorded, and it was further proposed by a higher legal office that I do so.

Attached to Complaint:

- 1. Defendant's Response to Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (Request to Stay)
- 2. Defendant's Motion For Hearing To Address Prosecutorial Misconduct and Malicious Interference
- 3. Defendant's Request for Settlement Conference with Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ )
- 4. Defendant's Motion to Change Appointed Counsel with Exhibits
- 5. Defendant's Motion to Change Public Defender

Pro per

**IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF**

State of Arizona

Plaintiff,

v.

Defendant

CASE NO:

**Defendant's Motion For Hearing To  
Address Prosecutorial Misconduct And  
Malicious Interference**

(Assigned to Hon. )

I am requesting the Court address the prosecutorial misconduct of and the unethical conduct and malicious interference of criminal defense attorney, , at the hearing set for .

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Since the discovery of the Order of Protection ( ) against alleged victim, , for **rape, domestic violence, assault, third party threats, and criminal harassment during this very criminal proceeding,** and have spiraled into a frenzy of unethical conduct to further harass, smear, coerce, and violate my rights for protection (**Exhibit 1**).

On , a hearing was held before Hon. regarding a Motion to Withdraw. Instead, prosecutor used this time to resurrect the matter of the Order of Protection ("OOP") and to doubled down in her intimidation tactics and falsehoods. , once again, misrepresented to the court that I am " " by way of OOP, in which his criminal defense attorney, accepted service for. further slandered me before the court stating, I was "

” requested that Hon. move to Quash the OOP. When that request returned fruitless, she pleaded that “ —a malfeasant, **dubious, manipulative act.**

The court must be reminded that has requested this very court to do the same during the hearing—move to Quash the OOP and find that I have violated my release conditions and be sent to prison (Exhibit 1). expressed to Hon. that “ ” because of me. **is well aware that the alleged victim, , had brought this criminal proceeding to light—nation- and worldwide—when he published Discovery from this matter online, his testimony, my personal contact information, doctored medical records and attorney-client privileged emails with my full name and identifying photos—distributing copies to various media outlets and social media platforms.** <sup>1</sup>

**actively seeks to negligently and recklessly engager me and jeopardize my personal safety. has been in receipt of Police Department Report number , with exhibits that were produced outlining criminal harassment during this criminal proceeding, cyber stalking, rape threats, death threats, and threats of lynching** <sup>1</sup> — as referred by the reporting Police detective as an unlawful intent via electronic communication (LEWD), and Electronic Communication-Distribute/Publish PII. **ARS 13-2916A1.** Use of an electronic communication to terrify, intimidate, threaten or harass; unlawful use of electronic communication device; applicability; classification; definitions **A.** It is unlawful for a person to knowingly terrify, intimidate, threaten or harass a specific person or persons by doing any of the following: **1.** Directing any obscene, lewd or profane language or suggesting any lewd or lascivious act to the person in an electronic communication; and **ARS 13-2916A4. 4.** Without the person's consent and for the purpose of imminently causing the person unwanted physical contact, injury or harassment by a third party, use an electronic communication device to electronically distribute, publish, email, hyperlink or make available for downloading the person's personal identifying information, including a digital image of the person, and the use does in fact incite or produce that unwanted physical contact,

1. Hon. reviewed Exhibit 1 and was aware of the criminal harassment, yet continued to admonished me over the exhibit and the alleged victim's rights, turning a blind eye to both the alleged victim's exploits and and unethical conduct.

injury or harassment. This paragraph also applies to a person who intends to terrify, intimidate, threaten or harass an immediate family member of the person whose personal identifying information is used.

Additionally, during the hearing, stated that after , she began contacting former defense attorney, , to see if I “ ” and when her ploy did not work, she explained that she enlisted the alleged victim’s criminal defense attorney, —who is in receipt of the OOP—to solicit the information from the former defense attorney. It goes without saying, is a prosecutor for the State of Arizona and with that comes access to information, **yet she enlists to assist her in performing her prosecutorial duties.** Former defense attorney, confirmed that the phone conversations and emails from and were “ ” **me and coerce me** “ —for “ ” would be “ ” and “ ”.

has firsthand knowledge that this court set trial for and later resetting trial for —and at the hearing, was in agreement with request to push this trial past . is also informed that **has not conducted any of her in-person witness interviews and that I have never been allowed to review State’s Discovery—yet alleged victim, (a non party) is with permission to publish State’s Discovery on the internet.** Nevertheless, in her endeavor to further slander and discredit me at the hearing, stated —in her unmitigated gall—that I am “ ,” “ ,”<sup>2</sup> and that I am “ —unethically capitalizing upon falsehoods; partaking in prevarications and intentional harm.

Prosecutor must abstain from “Judge Shopping,” soliciting different judges to Quash my Order of Protection—advocating for my harm—and to refrain from interjecting herself into a civil matter, seeking to litigate on behalf to which she is not a party. **must cease from all malfeasance, smear campaigns, deception, harassment, intimidation, threats,**

2. Hon. was made aware of the slander and stated, “ ,” and continued on to admonish me over Exhibit 1 and the alleged victim’s rights, again turning a blind eye to and unethical conduct.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**