

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-051

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 18, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge is improperly ordering another psychiatric exam in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 18, 2025.

County Detention
Facility.

/ /

Dear

First and Foremost I would like to thank you for taking the time to read my letter to you.

I am writing to you in hope of asking judge to recuse himself off Case No.

State of Arizona v. in Court.

I attended an unscheduled Status Hearing in this matter on before him. My previous Counsel had quit following revelations that my accuser, was having sexual relations with the of in

is himself a Fellowcraft of in I had asked judge if he was a and if he lied it was perjury. He refused to answer me, I quoted a URL link to disclose Love Letter to accuser, to the Court in effort to necessitate the need for disclosure to eliminate any Rule 42 ethics issues.

One of the D.O.'s hissed at me like a lizard or a snake!
I was holding in my hand an effectuated Writ of
1

701-2808
Mandamus, Judge _____ was using time constraints to prevent me from reading it all. I told him I wanted to recuse him from this matter, that I wanted to escalate the case to Federal Venue, that I wanted my indictment to be unsealed and I wanted to present myself for the record because the Legal Defender's Office for _____ County were plotting against me to abuse the Rule 11 psychiatric evaluation of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure in effort to silence me. He eventually made me sit down, assigned the Legal Defender's Office to my case anyway, against my will and told me he was going to send me to the _____ for a Rule 11 anyway. I was going to argue he's acting biased toward a member of a Child Sacrificing, Child Sex abusing, human blood consuming cult, the _____

and this case directly connects the _____ to the Freemasons. I wanted the indictment unsealed because my accuser is sleeping with Detective, now Patrolman _____ and _____ P.D. are paying her off two-fold. One as a traffic control officer with no hiring references and a court-mandated breathalyzer for a dismissed Felony DUI, And two, for claiming loss of illicit income out of _____ " " losing her job at _____ administering homicidal hospital protocols and myocarditis inducing COVID-19 shots without a valid medical or PA license.

Judge didn't do anything I asked of him. I think he's part of an agenda against me and I don't want to see him anymore. I have already had (psychiatric evaluations in this matter, one in Correctional Facility, two by and all of whom concur I am of sound mind and competent to stand trial. I think this is abuse of psychiatry, akin to the former. and has no place in a free Republic.

Judge was involved in the original Justice Court case. The original interview recordings were buried and witnesses and were reinterviewed. The original 4 were Detective and then Justice

Instead of dismissing the case due to Grand Jury perjury, Judge had the Legal Defender's Office repackage the purposeful withholding of clearly exculpatory evidence as a 12.9 Remand to the Grand Jury to never be actuated, only to be used as a "bargaining chip" to persuade the County Attorney's Office to give a lenient plea bargain, which I repeatedly refused!

admitted on camera, per the police report, video recording never presented that she gave her consent to the BDSM session.

now works for a conflict of interest following a previous, unnecessary Rule 11 evaluation; caught off now he does highway patrol for
Lied to to get a higher tier disorderly conduct payout, ~~from~~ She said she didn't know but her landlord and they go to the Ultimate Light Mission together;

Judge has social media posts smiling with the head of and County Attorney, are not answering FOIs about whether ARS 32-1555, practicing practicing medicine without a license is the only loss of illicit income they reimburse or whether or not they also reimburse hitmen, narcotics dealers and prostitutes too, Governor extradited me from State, She also nominated as well as for the Court position, Two out of three candidates both involved with State of Arizona when there are possible candidates to choose from I think should raise eyebrows, went eerily silent when confronted about it. Judge won the seat thanks to around three quarters of his nominations coming from members like former Sheriff had to step down after trying to cover up two juvenile P.O.'s having sex with a child at the juvenile Detention Facility.

'Judge' used to work with notorious
For the Arizona Preservation Act. IF you dared to quote
Leviticus 18:22 "Man shall not lie with man ~~it~~ as with woman
it is an abomination," and you owned a bakery and you
refused to write with your hand "I love gay anal sex" on the
side of a wedding cake, he would have your bakery closed
permanently! Guess who else was a big gay rights pusher?

Loved to play the organ at the
in Under House Bill SB48, children must learn
about

He was also a member of the

is a Follower of a movement co-Founded by a
human blood drinking man boy lover,
The have used gay rights judges in the past to push
their Satanic agenda, visa vie QC in

In this matter, has Filibustered a Court mandated
cellular provider Subpoena beyond the 24 month Log deletion
period in order to bury evidence. County Attorney's
Office have previously praised Cellular provider Subpoenas in
Attempted Murder cases, but in this case they screech and
do everything possible to prop up their dam of Lies.
had lied to the Detective saying I wasn't

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**