

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-029

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

May 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in his comments in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Joseph C. Kreamer, Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 16, 2025.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2025-029

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On [ ] at [ ] Arizona;

I appeared in front of commissioner for a status conference. The Judge then replied to my statement, "In reference to my remarks, I would like another attorney because my attorney told me, he thinks I'm going to lose my case." I felt as the Judge was communicating improperly with one side of the proceedings, siding with the prosecutor, being bias against myself, making prejudice remarks and derogatory statements; such as " [ ] statements the victims are police-men and they are [ ] -men! I was considering trial by bench because of the legal issues that I believe that's present in my case only a Judge can adequately comprehend the legality of my issues. Where as I believe the common jurors will fail to comprehend these legal issues adequately. Now I am distressed on which way to address my issues, trial by jury or trial by judge. I would like a change in Judge and also change in venue. Judge [ ] unethical behavior cause for impeachment. This instinct took place at [ ] Further-more on [ ] at [ ] Arizona;

at approximately [ ] I appeared in front of Judge [ ] he replied " [ ] Judge [ ] is showing a perpetual bias pattern I believe he is siding with the prosecutor. Having collusion against myself with the prosecutor and attorney, ultimately violating ARS. Const. Art. 2 § 4 Due Process of law.