

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-022

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 11, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal case and improperly had his signature on a letter from a defense agency.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 11, 2025.

To WHOM IT MAY CONCERN
 My NAME IS [REDACTED], I AM WRITING
 THIS LETTER AS A COMPLAINT, IN REGARDS TO
 [REDACTED] MY ATTORNEY, AND ALSO
 MY JUDGE, I AM REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION
 FOR ALL THE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT INVOLVED IN MY
 CASES. [REDACTED] 1.) [REDACTED]
 AND HIS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL
 ALSO HIS REFUSAL TO RENDER ADEQUATE LEGAL ASSISTANCE TO
 ME OR ANY OF MY COURT PROCEEDINGS, JUST TO MENTION
 A FEW ISSUES ABOUT HIS CONDUCT HE STATED, "TO MEAN
 I OULTE" [REDACTED] WHICH I
 THEN TOLD HIM,
 2) HE INTENTIONALLY SUPPRESSING SUPPRESSING EVIDENCE THAT
 WOULD PROVE JUSTIFICATION DEFENSE AND PROVE I AM
 THE VICTIM, HE TOLD ME SURVEILLANCE VIDEO THAT WAS
 USED IN [REDACTED] INDICTMENT, HE TOLD ME I CANT USE
 SURVEILLANCE VIDEO IN MY TRIAL, BECAUSE IT
 IS HEARSAY? HE REFUSES TO FILE AN RULE 15.1
 DISCLOSURE. 3) FAILED TO INFORM ME OF MY CIVIC
 RIGHTS BEING VIOLATED WHEN I WAS SEARCHED BY
 THE STORE EMPLOYEE ALSO FAILED TO INFORM ME
 OF JUSTIFICATION DEFENSE WHEN, THE UNKNOWN
 PERSON CAME AT ME WITH A WEAPON IN
 HIS HAND [REDACTED] IS PARTICIPATING IN EX PARTE
 COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE [REDACTED] COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S
 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, TO ASSIST WITH THE WRONGFUL
 CONVICTION OF HIS CLIENT, AND BY REFUSING TO

Build An Defense On My Behalf, when Its clear AND
 Obvious That Exculpatory Evidence Does Exist In
 This Case, 4) Every Time He Has Come To Visit Me, Has
 Walked Out Of The Visit, With No Explanation, Very
 Unprofessional, Not To Mention Very Disrespectful, He
 Has Also Stated That Because Of My Prior History I
 Will Lose My Cases, 5.) He Refuse's To Show Me ANY
 Video Evidence In ANY Of My Cases, He Has Been My
 Attorney Since

6.) He Refuses
 To Explain To Me Why My Judge
 Signature Is On A Letter From The Public Defenders
 Office, Where My Public Defender At The Time
 Signature Should Be, Judge
 Signature Is Instead, To My Undere

standing Is That Not Ex Parte Communications On
 The Judges Part? So Now Bring's Me To Judge
 After That Judge

Has
 Been Denying Every Motion, I Put In For A
 Bond Reduction Because I Am Indigent, Which
 Didn't Even Get A Hearing? 2.) He Then Denie
 Motion To Withdraw For Conflict Of
 Interest For Reason I Stated Earlier. Where On
 The Record I Then Ask Judge

Where On The Record He

States"

WHICH BRINGS ME BACK TO MISTER [REDACTED]
I ASK HIM TO PUT IN FOR A MOTION REQUESTING
A NEW JUDGE, BUT HAS REFUSED TO DO
SO, I HAVE ALSO ASK HIM TO PUT IN
ANOTHER MOTION TO WITHDRAW, WHICH HE
ALSO REFUSES, WHICH HAS FORCED ME TO
PUT IN A MOTION MYSELF STATING ALL
REASONS I AM REQUESTING NEW COUNSEL
WHICH JUDGE [REDACTED] HAS ALSO
DENIED, THESE ARE JUST A FEW REASONS
I AM WRITING THIS COMPLAINT REQUESTING AN
INVESTIGATION OF ALL THIS JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
ALSO IN CLOSING, I ASK TO PLEASE JUST DONT
MAKE A FEW PHONE CALLS AND DECIDE THIS
REQUEST IS NOT WORTH LOOKING INTO, I AM
ASKING PLEASE COME AND DO A PROPER
INVESTIGATION, BECAUSE I KNOW I AM NOT
THE ONLY ONE WHO IS SUFFERING FROM
THIS TYPE OF INJUSTICE! THANK YOU

VERY MUCH
RESPECTFULLY

P.S.
I AM ALSO SENDING
A COPY OF THE MOTION
I WAS FORCED TO PUT
MYSELF, PLEASE HELP!

P.S.S. TURN AROUND



ONE LAST THING, WHILE HERE AT COUNTY JAIL, AN ATTORNEY CAME TO VISIT ME HERE AT JAIL, WHERE HE TOLD ME HE WAS MY NEW ATTORNEY AND WHICH IN CASE I DISCLOSED EVERYTHING TO HIM ABOUT MY CASES, BUT WHEN I WENT TO COURT THE NEXT DAY, JUDGE TOLD ME THAT WAS STILL MY ATTORNEY?

SO I ASK WHY IS HE COMING TO VISIT ME AT JAIL AND TELLING ME HE IS MY NEW ATTORNEY, JUDGE TOLD ME NOT TO WORRY ABOUT IT, IT IS NOT A BIG DEAL??

THIS IS THE TYPE OF INJUSTICE I HAVE BEEN SUFFERING, NO ONE SEEMS TO LISTEN OR DO ANYTHING ABOUT ANY OF THESE PROBLEMS, FROM THE BEGINNING I HAVE BEEN SAYING I AM THE VICTIM NO ONE SEEMS TO CARE OR LISTEN, THIS IS NOT RIGHT, SOMEONE NEEDS TO COME LOOK INTO ALL THESE MATTER'S PLEASE! HELP!

AND WITH ALL THIS SAID AND DONE I AM BEING FORCED TO TRIAL ON WITH NO LEGAL HELP, THE ONLY LEGAL HELP THE COURTS HAVE BEEN PROVIDING, HAVE ALL BEEN WORKING AGAINST ME, CAN YOU PLEASE SEND SOMEONE TO LOOK INTO ALL THIS, AND TALK TO ME THIS IS NOT RIGHT OR LAWFUL, AND WHILE I AM IN JAIL MY FAMILY IS SUFFERING, MY FAMILY AND I

DEFENDANT:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN and for the County of

THE STATE OF ARIZONA
PLAINTIFF

vs.

DEFENDANT

Consolidated Cases,
and
MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL FOR
CONFLICT OF INTEREST

12 COMES NOW the defendant, by and through
13 NIMBERG, in pro se litigation, who moves this Court pursuant
14 to ARIZONA Rules of Court, Rule 6, Rules of Criminal Procedures,
15 TO ISSUE upon the Records of this Court, its Motion to With-
16 draw Counsel for Conflict of Interest, for Cause State as
17 follows:

18 The Defendant, asks this Court to withdraw
19 The Attorney, Esq. for Conflict of Interest, from
20 The Defendant's Consolidated case's, where I.B.;
21 1. Intentionally Surpassing / Suppressing his client exculpatory
22 evidence by refusing to file an Rule 15.1 Disclosure in order to
23 obtain surveillance video from STORE from the time of
24 Defendant arrival to the time of his arrest that would prove
25 guilty or innocent as to rather or not defendant shoplifted,
26 also it would show that defendant's Civil Rights was,
27 violated when he was searched by the state employee which
28 did not produce any stolen item, furthermore, it would show

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**