

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-007

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

May 16, 2025

The Complainants alleged a justice of the peace was biased, made racist comments, and failed to follow the law in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 16, 2025.

1

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge is shown to be Bias,
Prejudice Discriminating and the Judge
has shown some Levels of Racism.

3

In the case with _____ and _____ is the defendant, not
_____ and _____ are males employed by _____
and both are given limited power of attorney by _____.(CASE#
)

On _____ at around _____, a fraudulent improper joiner whose name is _____ was
sitting in the courtroom. Judge _____ asked the fraudulent improper joiner and the
plaintiff to come to the table. Judge _____ started talking to the fraudulent improper joiner,
whose name is _____ told Judge _____ what his attorney told him to do.

This was about limited power of attorney. Judge _____ got on the phone and said he needed to
call some people about this. There was no trial about _____ and his wife about
_____. On _____ after _____, the trial date and time was given to _____, the
fraudulent and improper joiner. Later the Judge scheduled _____ at _____

On _____ at around _____ the Judge was talking to _____ the fraudulent
improper joiner again about limited power of attorney, but not as long as he did on _____ at
_____. This time on _____ around _____ Judge _____ told _____ to
file his papers at the _____ Court window and a letter in the mail for the new court day on
at _____

On _____ after _____ I was letting the Judge know why we were in court but Judge
cut my speaking short by saying, "!"

4

who we file as the defendant with never showed up
for the schedule bench trial on these schedule trial hearing dates, at
at and at never gave a good reasonable
reason for missing these court hearing on these dates listed in this writing, judge
never put a judgment of default against but judge asked me three
times if I wanted to dismiss my case without asking if was in court one time. I was
refused and denied the rights in filing an entry of default judgment against and
on There wasn't from and no motion
to set aside for a new court date, and no motion to add a witness in court on these dates in
court at
at and I had to mail in my entry of default against
and



Judge on

Making comments about my disability is wrong. I'm disabled and need my wife's help. Just because my wife forgot my glasses, the Judge didn't have to bring up my hearing and say that it is not my wife's responsibility to take care of me and that it's my responsibility to take care of myself. This is a form of ableism, the discrimination of and social prejudice against people with disabilities.

Judge said that my wife doesn't know the laws in this country because she is not from the United States of America, that is an insult.

Judge said to my wife who is and I am a man. When asked a question about rule 26.1., the Judge said he is not suppose to help us. But the Judge spent two trials helping with questions with limited power of attorney. In fact both trial dates the Judge was helping a white man named with his questions about limited power of attorney. This is what the Judge did on at and on at
This is bias,prejudice,discrimination and a racism mindset.

6

This is what the Judge said to my wife, " to a woman of color is a highly offensive and discriminatory statement, essentially implying that because she is not considered "typical American" (often interpreted as), her experiences and knowledge about the country are invalid and irrelevant, dismissing her perspective based on her ethnicity and potentially where she was born. " This is bias, prejudice, discrimination, and white privilege."My wife is and I am a , we are a biracial couple.

Key points about this statement:

Xenophobic:

It promotes the idea that only people who are considered "traditional Americans" can truly understand the country, excluding anyone who might be of a different racial background or heritage.

Erasure of lived experience:

By saying she " ", it disregards the lived experiences of a person of color within the country, essentially claiming they are not part of the American narrative.

Microaggression:

This statement is a subtle yet harmful form of prejudice, often used to undermine a person's credibility and agency based on their ethnicity.

Judge never turned on the monitor at the table so we could hear him. Judge was speaking behind a solid plexiglass and that condition makes it hard for me to understand what he was saying with being over 25 feet away. Judge did turn on the large monitor which was even farther away. Judge knew about my hearing condition on at and there was no reason or commendation for my hearing disability.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**