

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-002

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 4, 2025

The Complainant alleged a hearing officer in a small claims case made improper findings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 4, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-002

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Judge did not go through or consider the pages of evidence that we presented, including a police report which had the plaintiff's statement that he ran over my daughter on her bike and his truck was on top of her bike, almost killing her. We provided proof, receipts, pictures of the damage and a police report ect. The judge believed the plaintiffs verbal statement which was now changed to she ran into him (again truck was on top of bike) and did not believe statement or mine or consider any of the evidence. The plaintiff said his insurance may go up (no proof) the judge said we have to pay that, the plaintiff said it may cost to fix his truck (no invoice) the judge said we have to pay that. I believe that the judge was very unethical, he allowed the plaintiffs wife who is not listed in the case to belittle and talk directly to the year-old defendant that was run over. The Judge said " which is his opinion and shouldn't be brought into this, my daughter was riding on a trail and was hit in the in crosswalk by the plaintiff, we also explained we have been being harassed by the plaintiff, and the plaintiff continues to harass us even as recent as yesterday. The burden of proof was not met and it's my belief that the judge acted unprofessional and unethical. The judge made up his mind prior to starting this case for reasons unknown to us and we would like it investigated. Thank you