

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-500

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 28, 2025

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge is an accomplice in parental alienation in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 28, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-500

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Bill of Rights are God-given freedoms enshrined in the Constitution to protect Americans from Government tyranny.

Courts continue to break laws and violate parents constitutional rights. Family courts have decided that they can just not abide by the constitution. False allegations are encouraged; motivated and supported for huge federal grants and overall benefits; nothing whatsoever for the best interest of the children.

I demand a more careful examination and scrutiny of both my family court case () and this criminal matter (as they correlate to one another) that has now impeded my ability to continue litigation within the family court for over years. While the Court Appointed Advisor has immunity and is shielded from excessive personal liability, it does not cover dishonesty, misbehavior, or negligence. Which she is responsible for in her reports and not following proper protocol ("never talked to me or took my side and completely distorted and twisted the truth).

Through decisions like Devereaux v. Abbey ("right to lie case"), the courts have made it evident that no government actor, especially those engaged with children, has the right to mislead or twist the truth. The best interest of the child is first priority in child custody and welfare matters; and any departure from this norm will be under close examination.

is an accomplice in parental alienation. Parental Alienation is a form of domestic violence because it meets all the definitional criteria. Stanley v. Illinois, 405 U.S. 645 (1972) The denial to unwed fathers of the hearing on fitness accorded to all other parents whose custody of their children is challenged by the State constitutes a denial of equal protection of the laws. The Violence Against Women Act violates the Equal Protection Clause of the fourteenth amendment.

No parent should have to beg a Judge for permission to see their own children. Yet that is how I managed to get myself into this position.

Troxel v. Granville - "The liberty interest at issue in this case -- the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children -- is perhaps the oldest of fundamental liberty interests recognized by this court." - Justice O'Connor.

I have proven that in my criminal case that the mother lied to the court to prove that I was a threat to her and my son. Restraining orders lack evidentiary standards and therefore have no evidentiary value in themselves - All based on mere allegations with a low burden of proof exacerbated by the abbreviated manner in which court hearings are conducted.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I filed for my parental rights on [redacted] I contacted the [redacted] Police Department shortly thereafter and filed a complaint for parental alienation. The mother was denying parenting time because I demanded a healthier co-parenting relationship. She was rewarded for ignoring every attempt to avoid going to court. She denied us the opportunity to meet with a mediator prior to my filings in Court. I filed for temporary orders on [redacted]. The child's welfare was at risk then just as it is now with the absence of me, his father.

The Prosecutor, the law enforcement department and the [redacted] Justice Center are all in on "the silver bullet tactic" and are guilty of colluding with the family law lawyer [redacted] to deem me a criminal in order to gain a more favorable outcome in family court.

I have a grown child now soon to be [redacted] that I had no court orders raising. Her mother and I successfully co-parented without the need for intervention. The child's mother in this case is not capable and why I initiated contact with law enforcement, filed a petition in [redacted] court for my parental rights and am demanding accountability now with your commission. There is absolutely no reason I should have to go to jail, pay a fine or lose privileges because I contacted police for a custody dispute or couldn't afford a lawyer; which is a direct violation of the United States Constitution. Not one person at the [redacted] Justice Center discussed a public defender before I was forced to defend myself in a bench trial completely under duress after being wrongfully incarcerated from [redacted] the [redacted] to [redacted] Subsequently missing my daughters [redacted] birthday. Coincidentally during the same time period your commission was reviewing my last appeal which was ultimately denied! The complaint with your commission on judicial misconduct was filed for Judge [redacted] for discrimination dated [redacted]. The [redacted] Court interestingly concluded that there was no evidence I filed for my parental rights...Right before the Arrest Warrant was issued!

United States v. Lanier U.S. 259,271 - No Immunity for Judges or Officials: The Court affirmed that judges and other government officials are not above the law. They can be held criminally liable for willfully violating constitutional rights.

[redacted] is a biased Judge that has no ability to see my fight was for the right to be a father to BOTH my children. She's denied me due process. She's violated my constitutional rights and I demand accountability and I am demanding that I am given permission to enter Arizona without the fear of prisoner detention and another incarceration for exercising not only my god-given right to be a father but my constitutional rights.

Constitutional

Attachments: [Affidavit 2294392 \(1\).pdf](#)
[Request for Default.pdf](#)

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on
Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;
Cc:
Subject: Fwd: Request for Default

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Dear Injustice Center,

I filed a Judicial complaint with the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct (copied).

It's evident the unconstitutional conduct within your court needs a more thorough examination. Especially since the best interests of the child have been interrupted by your custodial interference and for so long now. Not technically custodial interference since there was no court-ordered custody agreement, but you interrupted that process from happening. Welcome to Dad's court.

That being said, I wanted to share this email with you. In it, details surrounding my preliminary injunction and a default that was spectacularly missed by Judge you may appreciate? Likely not. Coincidentally on the same day I violated your lower courts order. Now why would I try to kill my ex and/or my son when I can clearly articulate my position on that day in Court.
Do we need a Rule 28 petition filed in the Court? How can we have avoided this from happening? Help me help you, help Arizona families experiencing parental alienation so we can stop this from happening again.

Have you heard about the ongoing proposal to adopt an informal family law trial program under rule 77 and a new rule 77.1? Sounds promising. It would have been nice if the Court ruled on that prior to my filings. No Arizona Strict rules of evidence and cross-examination in family court with an increase to access to justice and improvement to efficiency in family law matters is a win-win. In my opinion.

Another that stands out is R-24-0005 and would have had a direct impact in our case: Would amend Rules 1.5, 17.1, 17.2, and 17.3 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure to facilitate the use of interactive audiovisual appearances in proceedings before limited jurisdiction courts and encourage the use of electronic filing portals in such courts. Appearing virtually in court hearings and filing electronically really could've helped me

out. From my perspective since I am not guilty but you operate on the Guilty until proven Innocent perspective. Which is nowhere in the 5th or 6th amendments by the way. But I'm no lawyer, just a father missing his son on a Saturday night reading Arizona courts rules forum.

Nobody by chance has a reunification agreement they could send? The holiday's without the family can be a bit hard.

Regards,

Personal Salutation: Happy Holiday's
fatherforTWOkidsstarvingformoretogetherness

----- Forwarded message -----

From:

Date:

Subject: Request for Default

To:

Authorized Date:

Receipt ID:

Case Number:

Filed By:

Authorizing Attorney:

Filing Copied To:

Document(s) Filed:

. Title: Request for Default / Type: Request
. Title: Affidavit / Type: Affidavit Of Service

Document Status: Received by eFiling system.

Regards,

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**