

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-488

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 28, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in allowing more contact between father and child in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 28, 2025.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Remove Judge [REDACTED] in case#:

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

To: Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct

I am deeply troubled to learn about an inappropriate and unjust ruling made by Judge [REDACTED] in case number:

Judge [REDACTED] recent actions in this case are appalling and deeply alarming. He has threatened to increase unsupervised visits between the Father, a proven abuser, and the child. To add on, Judge [REDACTED] even threatened to relocate the Child away from the Mother, a victim of domestic violence. This decision ignores critical evidence, including a protection order against the Father. Judge [REDACTED] has shown extreme bias and disregard to the Father's abusive history and dismisses the Mother's valid concerns. Shockingly, the Judge called the Mother " [REDACTED] " and made life-altering decisions without thoroughly reviewing the case. Reports reveal that the Father has not only abused the Mother but has also harmed the child during unsupervised visits.

This is more than negligence—it is a blatant disregard for justice and the safety of the child. How can a Judge, unaware of the facts and dismissive of abuse, be trusted to make fair decisions? The child's safety is at severe risk. Judge [REDACTED] must be removed from this case immediately to prevent further harm. Enough is enough. Protect this child before it's too late.

Immediate Removal of Judge [REDACTED] from case number:

Sincerely,