

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-433

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 31, 2025

The Complainant alleged an appellate court judge engaged in improper political activity.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 31, 2025.

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Describe what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. List all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**Count 2: Violation of Judicial Canon #1:** No Judge may act to undermine the integrity or impartiality of the Judicial Branch in the eyes of the People they serve.

AZ Constitution Art 6: Judges have and shall exercise Judicial Power. They preside over cases in a Courtroom where the Judge or a Jury decide the results. They apply the Law.

AZ Constitution Art 3: Separation of Powers. Each Branch shall exercise powers granted to them, nothing else. No Branch may exercise powers assigned to others.

AZ Constitution Art 4, §1: The Power to petition their elected Legislators to make Laws is **"Reserved to the People"**.



Reserved Parking for Judicial Branch Violators of Separation of Powers

ARS 41-1231 §18 "Public body" means ...~~the judicial department~~... Bills such as SB1586 to restore Separation of Powers and Liberty are undermined by Court Lobbyists, in secret. Has the Chief Justice hijacked the Legislature with Malice and Forethought?

**No Law “REQUIRES” the Judicial branch to violate the Constitution.** Some defective State Laws might allow the Judicial Branch to violate the Constitution, but it is not mandatory. As \_\_\_\_\_ may terminate all activities that are prohibited by the Constitution by means of an **Administrative Order which can be drafted and signed in a single day.** (See attached)

Since the Arizona \_\_\_\_\_ controls the curriculum of Law schools, the questions on the Bar Exam, etc; it is not reasonable to presume that the \_\_\_\_\_ is so **incompetent** as to be unaware of the limits of power for the Judicial Department, set forth in the Constitution. Since efforts to reform this corruption have been actively subverted at the direction of the previous \_\_\_\_\_, it is more likely that the \_\_\_\_\_ have acted with **malice and forethought** to cancel the Liberties that justly belong to the People in conformance with the Constitution.

**Wherefore:** Regardless of Incompetence, or Malicious Violation of Law, and the Oath, the \_\_\_\_\_ must cure the breach, or be removed. An **Administrative Order must be issued** to immediately restore Separation of Powers as required by Law. (See attached)

**Rooker-Feldman Notice:** Based upon our experience with the unlawful and corrupt practices of the AZ Judicial Department, it is not reasonable to presume that they will reform themselves. **This CJC complaint will almost certainly be ignored.** Thus, please be advised that “Every Person” who has had their Rights violated by Unconstitutional Laws which the People are not able to correct due to the **unlawful and undue influence of “Court Lobbyists”** has now as of this writing established standing in US District Court against the State of Arizona, with the \_\_\_\_\_ named and acting in that official capacity. Civil remedies may be sought IAW 42-USC§1983, 18-USC Chapter 96 RICO, et al. Potential **civil liability for the State of Arizona is unlimited.** If the Judicial Branch and the State of Arizona wish to continue these unlawful and corrupt practices, then **any individual who has been harmed may assign a dollar figure to the harm, and the State can pay the money, as set forth in a Jury verdict.**

**Presumption of Confidentiality:** There is none. The \_\_\_\_\_ has clearly and publicly presided over prohibited political and extra-judicial activities where Due Process does not exist. The \_\_\_\_\_ has **posted signs** on the \_\_\_\_\_ Court building, notices on their website, etc; of how the Judicial Department exerts unlawful and undue influence over the State Legislature. A recent CJC complaint against another \_\_\_\_\_ Justice was widely published.

**Distribution:** Per ARS§12-1841(A), \_\_\_\_\_ Governor, Speaker of the AZ House, President of the Senate, State Attorney General.

Proposed Administrative Order to Immediately Cure ARS§41-1231(18)

Administrative Order #   xxxx

Date:           xx,

The Judicial Department of the State of Arizona will no longer Lobby the Arizona State Legislature without restrictions, regardless of any permissions afforded by State Law.

From this date forward, AZ Judicial Department shall follow the same Rules and Procedures observed by SCOTUS - the Supreme Court of the United States, and the Federal Judiciary, for Lobbying the United States Congress.

Signed, \_\_\_\_\_  
          of the State of Arizona

Attachments: [CJC Complaint - - - 4 Pages - Composite.pdf](#)

---

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Court Lobbyist Challenge - CJC Complaint - Notice of Federal Litigation

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Please be advised,

Federal Rules require prior notice so that litigation might be avoided.

The attached **CJC Complaint** was filed as noted in Suite 229,

This includes **Rooker-Feldman Notice** on Page 3.

The AZ State Courts may **cure this complaint** with no action from the Legislature.

The Legislature could fix **ARS§41-1231(18)** but the Court Lobbyists are **alleged** to have unlawfully subverted the Legislative process in secret.

The People find themselves in a dilemma. We go to the Legislature to fix problems, but find our Legislature has been taken over by the Judges.

Please review and act as you see fit in the best interests of the State.

The actions, or lack of action by State officials will determine the nature of the complaint in US District Court.

Sincerely,