

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-401

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 24, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his constitutional rights in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 24, 2025.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

Subject: Judge

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Attn: Investigative Journalist

Attn: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

I am requesting to re-open my complaint against Judge

The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct mentioned the Courts in the other email, but what they failed to mention is that the Courts/Judges decide my restoration of rights.

The Judge who has been Presiding over my restoration of rights is Judge and filed a complaint against her because she has and continues to overlooked evidence in the set aside convictions for and

She especially continues to ignore inadmissible and exclamatory evidence.

Because the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct refused to also investigate her Conduct in good faith, she continues to ignore that my Constitutional Rights were violated.

I had reached out activist from other States and sent the evidence and article by to and I was contacted by who promosed to get invovled with Arizona and that would help, until the State contacted him with lies through the Police.

Well, The Police who unlawfully took my cell phone for have been outed by The for acts such as those.

Because I am believed the Police over me and they turned out to be liars and the evidence and how it was collected in is also inadmissible.

Executive now has all of the evidence and the did promise to help, but we're

lied to by The Police and State of Arizona.

I have never been to or committed a crime in
Speech and Right to Petition and this all involved

and that violated my Freedom Of
who used that evidence.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
[Redacted]	1	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

Priority Mail®
 Flat Rate
 Weight: 7.90 oz
 Estimated Delivery Date
 Tracking #:

Insurance \$0.00
 Up to \$100.00 included
 Certified Mail®
 e-Return Receipt

Total **Supp Evidence**

Grand Total: **Restoration of**

Credit Card Remit **Rights**

Card Name: [Redacted]
 Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX [Redacted]
 Approval #: [Redacted]
 Transaction #: [Redacted]
 Receipt #: [Redacted]
 AID: [Redacted]

Contactless

Use Tracking # for inquiry on Return Receipt (Electronic).

[Redacted]

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

Priority Mail® Flat Rate Env.	1		[Redacted]
-------------------------------	---	--	------------

Weight: 0.50 lb
Expected Delivery Date: [Redacted]

Tracking #: [Redacted]

Insurance Up to \$100.00 included
Certified Mail®
e-Return Receipt

Total [Redacted]

Grand Total: [Redacted]

Credit Card Remit
Card Name: [Redacted]
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXXX [Redacted]
Approval #: [Redacted]
Transaction #: [Redacted]
Receipt #: [Redacted]

Contactless

Use Tracking # for inquiry on Return Receipt (Electronic).