

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-398

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 20, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to subpoena records and video in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Colleen E. Concannon, Regina L. Nassen, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 20, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-398

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge told me, " He suggested that I gather my own evidence by contacting myself. The problem with this statement is that I obviously did ask for their policies and procedures on releasing footage and audio recordings of the events I listed in incident 9, and obviously doesn't release any documentation of any activity, (especially illegal activity), to anyone outside business... except the court. won't even release it to the police. It's their property because they captured the evidence. They decide who their property is released to. This is not new information to me so I'm not sure why it would be new information for a judge. The other problem with statement is that it is his job! It is very much so his job. Not only did steal the keys out of my vest and enter my car, I believe he changed my key code on my fob so that now I can lock my keys in my car. The worked diligently to ensure that understood the importance of this documentation. I'm confident that has it. I had this same problem last year at Municipal Court. Refusing to subpoena though went into and showed them a fake badge and pretended to be a police officer, hit my car, stole phones, chased me home from my job. If the judges think this stuff is funny and a waste of their time, you need to terminate their employment. I can't survive year after year of crime while your lazy judges rake in their pay for refusing to do their jobs. It absolutely is job to subpoena If he can't do his job, get rid of him.