

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-388

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

December 17, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner favored the opposing side in a protective order matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 17, 2024.

Comp

24-388

---

**From:**  
**Sent:**  
**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Judge

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

My mailing address is \_\_\_\_\_, phone number is \_\_\_\_\_  
Case Number \_\_\_\_\_

On \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_, Commission on Judicial Conduct  
<[CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov](mailto:CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov)> wrote:

To: \_\_\_\_\_ owner

Hello:

Please clarify the intention of your email. Do you wish to file a complaint against Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_? While we prefer that our complaint form be utilized (attached), we can accept your email as a complaint. We would need additional information from you, including the case number and additional contact information (mailing address and phone number) for you.

Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
602-452-3200

---

**From:**  
**Sent:**  
**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <[CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov](mailto:CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov)>  
**Subject:** Judge

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Re: Hearing

I was shocked at Judge [redacted] attitude towards and dismissal of my son's ( [redacted] ) filing as he fights for the safety and welfare of his children. He has primary custody of the children. My ex-daughter-in-law had Judge [redacted] totally fooled saying she needed an interpreter. That was totally unnecessary and really affected the hearing in a negative way. Before she came to this country she spoke English well--studied it in school. She and my son talked daily {face time} and there was no problem with communication. When she came here my whole family understood her and she understood us. She even said she wanted to become more "Americanized" so she took another English class for a few months so she could get even better. She has been here [redacted] years, and in her jobs she has dealt with English speaking customers all day long with no problem. In court she did not speak in her normal way, and even the handwriting on her response paper was not hers. She normally has beautiful printing. Because of problems with the interpreter there was a lot of down time, and I was not even allowed to finish answering a question I was asked. Judge [redacted] attitude made it look like he had his mind made up before the hearing even started.

The kids telling us that " [redacted] " (their mom's boyfriend) looked at them when they were naked, kissed them all over, and slept in the same bed with them raised red flags with us, the police, other judges, lawyers, and anyone else that heard about it--"grooming" behavior. First she said they lied, but then she said it is her culture. Judge [redacted] told my son he should show more respect for her culture. So he is in effect saying my grandchildren (who do NOT speak [redacted] ) should be comfortable with a man they barely met looking at their naked bodies, kissing them and sleeping in the same bed with them because it is their mother's culture!?! She could have made that up-- Judge [redacted] did not question it. He also said children just tell parents what they think they want to hear. So now children are not to be believed? THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY SHOULD BE THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE CHILDREN! (By American Standards. The children were born here, have been raised here and have shown no interest in the [redacted] culture.)

There are many other times the safety and welfare of the kids when under her watch was very questionable. Obviously Judge [redacted] would have no interest in hearing about that. He said since the kids were not physically hurt he was dismissing the case. That is sickening.

I will be sure to tell others how easily this judge was duped by the kids mother, and that the least of his concerns was the children. If his name comes up on a ballot, he will not get a pass from me.

Thank You for "listening".

Grandmother of [redacted] ( [redacted] ) and [redacted] ( [redacted] )