

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-380

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 31, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge denied an opportunity to be heard in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 31, 2025.

AZ Board on Judicial Conduct:

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has violated Rule 2.6 (A) of the AZ Code of Judicial Conduct. He has violated my right to be not only heard but informed. The right to be heard is an essential component of a fair and impartial system of justice. Substantive rights of the people can only be protected if procedures protecting the right to be heard and informed are enforced.

\_\_\_\_\_ from the \_\_\_\_\_ Review board informed me on \_\_\_\_\_ that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ issued a default judgment against me without any authority to do so as there was no service of process. Jurisdiction had not been invoked, no personal or subject matter jurisdiction existed for him to even hear this sham case. \_\_\_\_\_ also stated that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ had given his consent to have service through regular mail in violation of A.R.S 28-2327 and Just. Ct. R. Civ. Proc 113. That decision was not lawful or reasonable and indeed has caused substantial harm to me.

Therefore denying me my right to be heard and informed, stepping out of his judicial authority, for which he has no immunity, he has harmed me and denied me my rights under color of law.

That default judgment must be quashed immediately as it is unlawful.

Your prompt attention to this matter is greatly appreciated.

\_\_\_\_\_

1  
2 COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

3  
4 NO.

5 MOTION OF SPECIAL APPEARANCE TO QUASH  
6 DEFAULT JUDGEMENT

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 AGENT THIRD PARTY

10 I, , have had my unalienable substantive rights to be informed and heard  
11 denied under the color of law. Lacking both personal and subject matter jurisdiction, this court has no  
12 authority to be making legal determinations against me in this farcical matter. This court has not  
13 demonstrated that its judicial authority has been activated and called into power. There was no sworn  
14 statement of an alleged victim for which the court could grant relief. Therefore the Default Judgement is  
15 unlawful and invalid, requiring that it be quashed immediately.

16  
17 There has been no service of process, required by law, given to me for this case to have  
18 even commenced. Where is the proof of receipt signed by me as required by law?

19 Attorney states in her Application for Default that I was served on  
20 . How could she possibly know that since if anything was sent at that time it came to an address  
21 that I don't use to receive mail? This area is rural and mail is not delivered to individual households. I use  
22 a PO Box. So where is the signed service of process either from personal delivery or certified mail as  
23 required by A.R.S. 28-2327? I didn't see the complaint until it came with the Application of Default to  
24 my PO Box sometime in mid to late . As I knew I had not been served I thought this was a rogue  
25 attorney attempting to exhort money from me via US mail in violation of 18 USC. I reported her to the  
26 file #

1 My husband was murdered in . He paid the bills and I had no knowledge  
2 of any HOA dues on vacant land. I did not receive a bill from HOA in therefore  
3 the dues were not paid. A case was brought against me for those dues and dismissed as this case  
4 should have been as well.

5 When it came to my attention that there were dues owed I paid them immediately. My  
6 check for the and dues was sent on to via Priority mail #  
7  
8 The check was cashed on HOA was made  
9 whole before I was even allegedly served on were informed of the cause  
10 for the delay in the payment of the dues.

11 The Arizona state Constitution, Article 2 section 2, as well as the Federal Constitution  
12 both hold that "all political power is inherent in the people and governments derive their just powers from  
13 the consent of the people (governed) and are established to protect and maintain individual rights."  
14 Therefore all government employees are the trustees and servants of the people and are at all times  
15 accountable to the people.

16 As one of the sovereign people I hold this court and Judge accountable and  
17 liable for violating my rights and failing to protect and maintain them.

18 The default judgement according to the law and the fact must be quashed forthright.

19 Sworn this day of under penalty of perjury.  
20

21  
22  
23 Agent Third Party  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28