

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-338

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 4, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge ignored the collateral estoppel doctrine and made improper legal rulings in a divorce case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 4, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-338

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

RE: This report is forwarded from the Arizona to:
The Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 West Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 452-3200

reports and the Judge, for being in Contempt of the
Arizona's Legal Professional's Guidelines and Practices Statute Requirements (Now, in this report, also -
The Commission on Judicial Conduct Codes of Conduct).
is also a licensed Attorney, and was informed to transfer this complaint
to the correct avenue for complaints on Judges in Arizona. The following was submitted to the
Arizona:

There is a Family Court Case in the Arizona Court, that now has four highly
fictitious Petitions filed by ones that are:

1. Based on dismissed motions.
2. Are not 100% free of Perjury.
3. Introduce the true motives for Fraudulent payment schemes
4. That defame
5. Violate the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine.

The Arizona needs to enforce the Law Doctrine of Collateral Estoppel; the Arizona
Courts cannot go off evidence previously dismissed in a case; in this case, six previously dismissed court
motions were filed by dismissed, and later used as evidence in 4 Petitions. That is a complete
violation of an Issue Preclusion; the data has already been judged, and the Collateral Estoppel Doctrine
prohibits the re-litigation of an issue. The courts are allowing the full re-litigation of issues that have been
previously dismissed. Both the Judge, and the attorney, should have known
this and needed to be legally reprimanded. These two are harassing to the fullest extent, yet
still claim. " " and "

" This is absurd. These six motions of in
Petition to Modify Legal Decision-making, Parenting Time, and Child Support all are
based on dismissed motions from the dates of
and

being a former Judge, should know NOT TO disregard the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine,
not to re-litigate these six dismissed motions, not to have opted to file for Emergency Temporary Orders,
not to have issued a child Warrant on not to have then asked to reissue the child warrant
days later, not to place the minor in a high-risk environment that abruptly cut off all electronic
communications and put the minor at a mental risk; all for a financial gain.

It is insanity that the Judge, has allowed such extreme Petitions, all introduced by the initial
Petition to Modify Legal Decision Making, Parenting Time, and Child Support, and then to
have another three follow and collaborate with =actual Basis as the primary reasons to
modify the Divorce Decree. That was a complete scheme that should not have occurred and was planned
and plotted. Ms. J refused to go to court hearings, for she knew the Judge would not to enforce

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Four petitions need to be dismissed based on the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine; they are Issue Preclusions, there is not sufficient evidence, the Statutory requirements are not met, and these violate Perjury Laws. The Due Process Requirements are not met, the Procedural requirements are not met, and there is a violation of Double Jeopardy. One cannot bring forth Legal Petitions that violate the Standards of Issue Preclusions.

Ultimately, the Arizona Courts need to dismiss the Petition for the simple fact that "once the court has dismissed or decided on a Motion, an issue of fact of law, one that was necessary to have the dismissal judgments, that issue precludes the re-litigation of the issue in a Petition on a different cause of action, even in the same case." Opt to Dismiss the following Petitions:

1. Respondent's Petition for Post-Decree Temporary Orders Regarding Exclusive Use Of The Martial Residence.
2. Respondent's Petition to Enforce Consent Decree Regarding Martial Residence and Petition for Contempt: **Contempt (P)**
3. Respondent's Petition to Modify Spousal Maintenance.
4. Petition to Modify Legal Decision Making, Parenting Time, and Child Support.

The Judge stated in several Minute Entries, one being on that 1) did not ask to Modify Temporary Orders- when, in reality, she had filed several Motions and a Court Petition on asking to cancel the Temporary Orders, and 2) submitted a complete psychological evaluation, and the Judge snarled at the MMPI-3, at the hearing, even though these are used in Forensic Divorce Cases.

and must be condemned for the harassment based on Dismissed Court Motions, evidence that should not be re-introduced into a case once it is dismissed. They both had on a mission to discredit the Temporary Orders. All her motions have been rejected, and the minor, sadly, is now entrapped at her estranged father's house. She merits to come back home to her caring mother. A Child Warrant, first issued on was not re-enforced until days later, on There is marked illegal misconduct, misleading the courts, and the Judge not taking physical action. Instead, on paper, he deems to be on a crazed mission, highly hostile, and a risk to a minor. That is absurd.

This needs the STATE OF ARIZONA TO STOP THESE TWO CRIMINALS. The Judge, seems to be bribed. Overall, since it violates the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine, one cannot re-introduce evidence that has been previously dismissed. One cannot re-litigate data that has had a prior judgment, and these two have violated a Law Doctrine. That is terrible. Jail these two for Perjury, Fraudulent Payment Schemes, Illegal Misconduct, Contempt of Court, Violating Ethics, and violating the Law Doctrine of Collateral Estoppel, and identifying that it is a complete Legal Malpractice issue between a paired Lawyer and Judge.

Still, the Preclusion Principle was overlooked, and instead, these two kept harassing and the minor she had in legal custody. There is no matching principle to the actions taken; it all seems to be financially plotted, and the minor suffered. No matter how much contested and fought for the minor, the Judge used it all against the mother. The Judge claimed in a Minute Entry, the mother never filed a Petition asking for the minor back, when on yes, did ask for the Petition to have a trial. was ignored, her Motions Dismissed, she was never offered an

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

RE: This report is forwarded from the Arizona to:
The Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 West Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 452-3200

reports and the Judge, for being in Contempt of the
Arizona's Legal Professional's Guidelines and Practices Statute Requirements (Now, in this report, also -
The Commission on Judicial Conduct Codes of Conduct).
s also a licensed Attorney, and was informed to transfer this complaint
to the correct avenue for complaints on Judges in Arizona. The following was submitted to the
Arizona:

There is a Family Court Case in the Arizona Court, that now has four highly
fictitious Petitions filed by ones that are:

1. Based on dismissed motions.
2. Are not 100% free of Perjury.
3. Introduce the true motives for Fraudulent payment schemes
4. That defame Ms. I
5. Violate the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine.

The Arizona needs to enforce the Law Doctrine of Collateral Estoppel; the Arizona
Courts cannot go off evidence previously dismissed in a case; in this case, six previously dismissed court
motions were filed by dismissed, and later used as evidence in 4 Petitions. That is a complete
violation of an Issue Preclusion; the data has already been judged, and the Collateral Estoppel Doctrine
prohibits the re-litigation of an issue. The courts are allowing the full re-litigation of issues that have been
previously dismissed. Both the Judge, and the attorney, should have known
this and needed to be legally reprimanded. These two are harassing to the fullest extent, yet
still claim " " and "

" This is absurd. These six motions of in
Petition to Modify Legal Decision-making. Parenting Time, and Child Support all are
based on dismissed motions from the dates of
and and

being a former Judge, should know NOT TO disregard the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine,
not to re-litigate these six dismissed motions, not to have opted to file for Emergency Temporary Orders,
not to have issued a child Warrant on not to have then asked to reissue the child warrant
days later, not to place the minor in a high-risk environment that abruptly cut off all electronic
communications and put the minor at a mental risk; all for a financial gain.
It is insanity that the Judge, has allowed such extreme Petitions, all introduced by the initial
Petition to Modify Legal Decision Making, Parenting Time, and Child Support, and then to
have another three follow and collaborate with =actual Basis as the primary reasons to
modify the Divorce Decree. That was a complete scheme that should not have occurred and was planned
and plotted. Ms. refused to go to court hearings, for she knew the Judge would not to enforce

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Four petitions need to be dismissed based on the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine; they are Issue Preclusions, there is not sufficient evidence, the Statutory requirements are not met, and these violate Perjury Laws. The Due Process Requirements are not met, the Procedural requirements are not met, and there is a violation of Double Jeopardy. One cannot bring forth Legal Petitions that violate the Standards of Issue Preclusions.

Ultimately, the Arizona Courts need to dismiss the Petition for the simple fact that "once the court has dismissed or decided on a Motion, an issue of fact of law, one that was necessary to have the dismissal judgments, that issue precludes the re-litigation of the issue in a Petition on a different cause of action, even in the same case." Opt to Dismiss the following Petitions:

1. Respondent's Petition for Post-Decree Temporary Orders Regarding Exclusive Use Of The Martial Residence.
2. Respondent's Petition to Enforce Consent Decree Regarding Martial Residence and Petition for ~~Contempt~~ **Contempt (PF)**.
3. Respondent's Petition to Modify Spousal Maintenance.
4. Petition to Modify Legal Decision Making, Parenting Time, and Child Support.

The Judge stated in several Minute Entries, one being on that 1) did not ask to Modify Temporary Orders- when, in reality, she had filed several Motions and a Court Petition on asking to cancel the Temporary Orders, and 2) submitted a complete psychological evaluation, and the Judge snarled at the MMPI-3, at the rearing, even though these are used in Forensic Divorce Cases.

and must be condemned for the harassment based on Dismissed Court Motions, evidence that should not be re-introduced into a case once it is dismissed. They both had on a mission to discredit the Temporary Orders. All her motions have been rejected, and the minor, sadly, is now entrapped at her estranged father's house. She merits to come back home to her caring mother. A Child Warrant, first issued on was not re-enforced until days later, on There is marked illegal misconduct. misleading the courts, and the Judge not taking physical action. Instead, on paper, he deems to be on a crazed mission, highly hostile, and a risk to a minor. That is absurd.

This needs the STATE OF ARIZONA TO STOP THESE TWO CRIMINALS. The Judge, seems to be bribed. Overall, since it violates the Collateral Estoppel Law Doctrine, one cannot re-introduce evidence that has been previously dismissed. One cannot re-litigate data that has had a prior judgment, and these two have violated a Law Doctrine. That is terrible. Jail these two for Perjury, Fraudulent Payment Schemes, Illegal Misconduct, Contempt of Court, Violating Ethics, and violating the Law Doctrine of Collateral Estoppel, and identifying that it is a complete Legal Malpractice issue between a paired Lawyer and Judge.

Still, the Preclusion Principle was overlooked, and instead, these two kept harassing and the minor she had in legal custody. There is no matching principle to the actions taken; it all seems to be financially plotted, and the minor suffered. No matter how much contested and fought for the minor, the Judge used it all against the mother. The Judge claimed in a Minute Entry, the mother never filed a Petition asking for the minor back, when on yes, did ask for the Petition to have a trial. was ignored, her Motions Dismissed, she was never offered an