

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-262

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 8, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Barbara Brown, and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 8, 2024.

2024-262

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

1 charges in to charges has been changed 3x from Dangerous Drugs & Paraphernalia to Dangerous drug sales, Drug Paraphernalia, now Dangerous drug Trafficking, Drug Paraphernalia to
The Judge ordered the change as the Police Admitted to setting up the drugs to so called truck when officer drove truck to a lighted area, they said the lady officer drove prius truck, but it was actually officer who was driving and sgt is the one who set the drugs in the back of truck, because the female officer sat her body cam on hood of her car facing truck.
HERE IS a copy of all motions that were denied and exhibits that were constantly over Ruled.
Find Attachments

Case number

In the year _____ was coming home from picking up a stove from _____, headed to _____, when he was pulled over for crossing the white line, the officer said he wanted to do a field sobriety test as he thought _____ had been drinking. The officer asked _____ to step out of the truck, so he did, and instead of navigating a sobriety test they sat him on the curb and asked if they could search his vehicle, again _____ agreed and waited along the curbside. This occurrence was on _____ and the _____ There was no field sobriety ever done on _____. Officer _____ was supposedly called for _____ unit Nc _____ ever came to the destination on _____. On body cam no _____ ever went around truck and hit on anything as there was nothing there. Officer _____ said the _____ hit on the passenger side of the truck per the body cam no dog was on said side of vehicle. Officer _____ body cam was sitting on hood of officers car facing the truck and was not removed until the police officer drove the truck to a lighted area, Officer _____ said the _____ hit on passengar side of truck, but the _____ was never taken around truck. Then when in lighted area the SGT put on some gloves and that is when the drugs were planted in truck. _____ was arrested the truck was towed away never to be seen again. There are so many of his constitutional rights violated, and during court hearings the Judge was Biased against _____ as he was _____ judge before. Every motion filed was denied, when the body cam was shown the police officer admitted or retracted her story and admitted, that _____ did not have any drugs and that he was set up. The _____ was going to dismiss charges and give an aquital when the judge said we will resume tomorrow. When _____ attorney said to him that they were going to acquit his case, well that did not happen the Judge said he studied all night to make something stick so now the Judge is charging him with possession and paraphernalia. The judge dismissed every motion they filed, and then added another charge Trafficing. This appears to me that the Judge has a hard on for _____ because I have never heard of a judge searching for a case to stick that is te D.A's job and the D.A was ready to acquit.

On trial started, here is a list of denials,
Rule 2 motion denied, notice of impeachment indictment
Denied striking counsel for defendant
Voir dire

Court denies motion to suppress and to preclude as inadmissible hearsay

D counsel moves for motion of severance (court Denies)

Court denied Exhibit 30, exhibit 3, exhibit 1, exhibit 6 over ruled exhibit 30 over ruled, exhibit 4 over ruled

Officers , Officer Officer last
searched truck after and other officers searched and

Judge entered into evidence in the first testimony from officer
and was said to have been the officer who had moved truck to
truck stop in the second time moving vehicle, on the next day in
court the body cam shows that officer was the one who had moved truck.

When officer wrote out his report the first time, his report was different
from when he was being questioned. Officer had admitted to the report
being inaccurate in but now it is accurate in

Court finds it not commulative to allow prior convictions 13-3415€(2)

Court denies to Severan

Court denies to bifurcate, to constitution

Grants motion in part and redacting

Exhibit 2-38-37 over ruled

Exhibit 5-3 objection over ruled

Rule 20 motion, Defense counsel moves for rule 20 motion, court denies paraphernalia

Court denies rule 20 motion, court denies defense counsel for mistrial

Possession of dangerous drug, drug paraphernalia.

On 4th amendment violated with regard to the