

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-237

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

September 10, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner refused to cancel the protection order against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Louis Frank Dominguez, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 10, 2024.

2024-237

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On The Arizona filed an injunction against harrasment
 against me for the following reasons:

1. I "Threatened" to make public or inform , that the Arizona has an undercover account against their End User Policy.
2. My clothing / attire was not appropriate or scary.
3. While speaking with General Counsel, I referred to some excutive employees as dumb, corrupt, etc,
4. I followed Arizona Rules of Civil procedure 26 and placed the Respondent's address as required.

Judge said both parties would have 30 mins each to present their side. So I took what I needed for a 30 minute presentation. During the hearing, The brought multiple State "witnesses" and pushed over 2hs in testimony. I had to essentially ad lib for 2 hours with no witnesses or evidence that would prove they lied. Audio and Video.

Judge agreed with the Department that I threating to go to about the Department's use of an undercover account was an actual "threat" to the normal operations of the Department. I could not believe this was coming from an American judge ~~about~~ Perhaps But America? No. This is like the Department calling on the Courts to grant an injunction on individual making known where the speed traps and red light camera's are at or someone pointing to an undercover vehicle. might rule the individual was preventing the Department from doing their jobs and issuing citations. Simply outrages. I have no duty to side with the Government or hold their secrets.

Judge said I should not have referred to others as dumb, corrupt, etc while communicating with the Public Records Unit (general counsel). Again, could not believe this was an American judge saying this.

Judge agreed with the the Department that I, following Rule 26 of the Arizona Rules of Civil, Procedure was a threat. So I followed the rules of the Court and got punished.

So I lost my case. Could not afford the 4+ hours of transcripts needed to file an appeal and the advised me they were running months behind. Just great.

I filed multiple complaints against the in County Court without issue and the Courts sided with me. The Department used to retaliate against me.

(Pro Se) County Court Case:
 (Pro Se) County Court Case:
 (Pro Se) County Court Case:
 (Pro Se) County Court Case:
 (Pro Se) County Court Case:

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I have reason to believe the injunction is still in effect as of _____ well past the "effective for one year from date of service" listed on the copy of service provided to me. I saw with mine own eyes, an order of protection, listed in _____. Weather this was just an archived record of the protection order or actual notification for law enforcement, this I do not know. Upon first opportunity, I visited the Courts Records building located at _____ AZ on _____ to seek more information about this. Respondent speaking to Court Records staff requested the Docket in this matter. Respondent asked the Staff if the Court entered the Protection Order removed. Court records staff advised an order to cancel the protective order was never entered. When I asked why the Judge never ordered the Protective Order removed, the staff could not provide answers and referred me to the law library to rectify the matter. Respondent asked the Staff if the _____ County _____ Court had Walk-In Calendars to see a Judge like the Municipal Courts. The staff said no and everything needs to be submitted through a filing or motion.

On _____ Defendant again spoke to the Clerk of the Court and asked for the Case status. The staff, whose name Defendant cannot remember, said the case was still Active. For some reason I had to pay _____ dollars to the Clerk to get a motion in front of a judge _____.

Judge _____ has had _____ days to respond and close this case. He has not. He essentially has a permanent injunction on me. The Department, filed a response on _____. It's baffling this Judge is taking so long to explain why this case is still active and why the injunction is in effect.

I can provide to the Commision my audio recording with the Clerk of the Court telling me this case was still active. (Yes I violated the rules of the Court regarding recording because I had to. I record all my converstations with State or Court employees as they are now one in the same. No seperation of powers). I finally realize the struggles of a Defense lawyer during my interaction with Judge _____.

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

CLERK OF THE COURT
Deputy

HONORABLE

ARIZONA

v.

COMM.

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has received and reviewed the Defendant's Motion to Vacate/Dissolve/ Cancel,
filed

The Court does not order the termination of an Injunction unless requested by the Petitioner
or after an Evidentiary Hearing. Injunctions expire, by their own terms, one year after service.

IT IS ORDERED denying Defendant's Motion to Vacate/Dissolve/ Cancel.