

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-203

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 11, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to oversee the adult probation department, failed to remove him from probation, and failed to supervise other judges.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 11, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-203

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

| On | I filed a direct complaint against court officers to & Judicial Branch Administrator | County | Court Judge |
|----|---|--------|-------------|
| | <p>The complaint expressed urgency in his acting to protect me directly from chronic & profound Due Process Violations and cruel & unusual punishment & criminal misconduct against me by _____] officers to include Current Chief _____, Division Chief _____ and multiple others named in the direct complaint.</p> <p>The Direct complaint alleged that _____ has & is maintaining me on probation purely out of malice & in retaliation as well as in clear violation of my fundamental due process rights...and in direct conflict with the rules of the court, ethical rules of judiciary and state laws.</p> <p>The most pivotal being that _____ subjected me to criminal conduct being made to live at a place where other probationer's & sex offenders were engaged in criminal organized sex trafficking Citizens. Even though I repeatedly reported the crimes to _____. Then _____ in multiple court cases. including mine and that of Defendants: _____ willfully omitted the sex trafficking information in cases before multiple judges--therein perverting the court process in each case and misleading multiple judges--all to protect _____ from public disclosure of their GROSS failures in these matters.</p> <p>That Judges _____ though I earlier reported such in court filings to each judge, they disregarded the matters & failed to address subordinate criminal conduct: falsification of public records, facilitation & aiding & abetting sex trafficking by probationer's for several months.</p> <p>That it is cruel & unusual punishment for Judge _____ or any judge to allow or compel me to have to have contact with any _____ officer because they are in contempt of court in not adhering to the purposes of probation, have committed themselves criminal conduct to include hindering prosecution of the named probationer's who engaged in sex trafficking....and Judge _____ NOT acting to protect me from such criminal court officers.</p> <p>Every Citizen of The Greater _____ area remains acutely in gross danger in Judge _____ not acting immediately to address the matter of these _____ officers remaining officers of the court and _____ is in violation of both his own oath & every rule of the Arizona Code Of Judicial Conduct, especially in obeying the law himself & rule 27 Arizona Rules Of Criminial Procedure in bringing before the court Defendant _____ probationer's who committed criminal acts of sex trafficking and other law violations.</p> | | |

ORIGINAL

CLERK OF THE COURT
FILED

Deputy U

Defendant Pro Per

ARIZONA COURT
COUNTY OF

STATE OF ARIZONA
Plaintiff

DEFENDANT COMPLAINT AGAINST COURT OFFICERS AND
URGENT REQUEST FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER AND AN
INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION

PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE COURT

JUDICIAL BRANCH ADMINISTRATOR

Defendant

The Defendant, acting pro per, informs this court of events of critical public concern and at the core of the integrity of the court and of the utmost public safety and request the court to consider the facts and events alleged and issue an order [1] temporarily Transferring his probation directly to the court for his protection [issue an order precluding any agent of from having contact with him, until resolution of this matter [3] compelling an independent investigation of the facts and events alleged.

This complaint or plea is supported with annexed documents or reference to the record in his case, incorporated herein by reference.

The Defendant would motion common judges of the court. His experience has been through Rule 27.3 Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure [here Rule] permit a Defendant to petition the court to consider a probationer's concern, he has done so on many occasions to Judges and and have his legitimate concerns ignored by said judges and he has zero faith in their ability to be unbiased or comply with ethical rules. The record is clear that since , he has repeatedly petitioned the court to intervene in the misconduct of and such has been to no avail...even though the judges have a specific duty that is clearly established in The Arizona Code Of Judicial Conduct, to address misconduct or unethical behaviors of subordinates under their control.

Defendant alleges that :

1. officers , current Chief , Division Director Supervisor , and officers and , and many other officers / agents of that will be discovered through an investigation , have engaged in clear criminal conduct.
2. That clear criminal conduct would be willfully failing to investigate credible reporting to of sex offender probationer's to include [deceased in , and others both sexually abusing homeless and mentally ill people and sex trafficking the same people at a Boarding House located at between to . Then failing under Rule 27.6 to swiftly bring such probationer's before the court for revocation to protect the public and victims.
3. That officers and , individually & collectively, on repeated occasions acted to cover up, hinder investigation and prosecution of such matters, with a motive to prevent wide scale public disclosure with gravely detrimental consequences to the agency, if it was publicly determined that these events were occurring years prior to and while this Defendant was there, and grossly failed to detect it or knew of it and grossly mishandled it.
4. That in future violation matters of on in on or about and Presentence Report and , agents acted to ensure no mention of the reports of sex trafficking were in any of these court documents. Willfully omitting them and likely Defrauding the court in each case and corrupting the due process of each matter. The court & public are entitled to the full scope of a defendant's behaviors and mitigating & aggravating facts. officers have a specific clear duty to accurately report on supervision and information of a defendant in APETS and to the court. See ARS 12-253. **Please see annexed exhibit A**
5. The officers have willfully allowed these Defendant's to not be held accountable. Allowed victims to be betrayed. The Public to be grossly betrayed.

SECOND allegation :

officers and more recently Supervisor and have been aware that this Defendant, has substantially complied with his probation grant & demonstrates function at the lowest potential of risk to reoffend....and have willfully failed in their duties under policy 6.400 and ARS 12-253 [6] to inform the court of such and recommend his early termination [ET] violating his Equal Process and Due Process rights. Unduly collecting probation service fees and subjecting him to undue testing and expense. Doing so simply out of malice and retaliation.

The Defendant, avows under the penalties of perjury within the State Of Arizona that the proceeding statements made by him are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and beliefs :

1. That between to he was civilly committed to The Arizona . There he volunteered for evaluation and treatment. He completed all groups required by The State of Arizona and was deemed by treatment to be functioning at a level that posed the lowest potential for risk to reoffend or to the community and was absolutely discharged on or about He was dually supervised by throughout this time. He completed treatment. [see annexed exhibit B]
2. On about as noted in Police DR Report # Defendant, was employed at with fellow Resident A few days prior, Defendant, had noted , aggressively placing an adult male medicated [developmentally slow] resident, in an arm chokehold & kissing him. The Defendant, spoke with the resident later & determined & tape recorded, that had Sodomized the resident twice. Defendant notified security and later spoke with an officer. Defendant got the abuse of vulnerable adult stopped, Fired and attempts were made to prosecute . [see annexed exhibit C]
3. In , Defendant was biking home from work & noted with his shorts down, masturbating behind a Bus stop while staring at two children. Defendant called police and was arrested. [see annexed exhibit D].
4. Though Defendant had some knowledge of Civil Rights Law, he had never known of nor studied Probation Laws. He would have petitioner for modification or early termination of probation, if he had of been familiar with such laws. In shortly after being released from . & living in his first home, an officer came by, possibly and manipulated Defendant into community treatment, which turned out to begin at Arizona. He was at first assigned to some lady therapist whose name he does not recall.

5. Later, Defendant, was with Therapist . In late or early , the Defendant, was in in group therapy session and heard mention that folks on probation could petition the court for modification or early termination of probation. So he asked to work with him in one-on-one sessions to have be able to write a letter of support for him to get early termination. agreed and the process began, to include his mother, meeting with .
6. On: called Defendant in a 2 minute 14 second call. stated to defendant, that all the sudden he was informed that he could not provide a letter and had to leave that up to probation. This Defendant, knows that to be coercing and is the subject of his motion filed in .
7. On or about Defendant's [then] probation officer summoned him to the office. She arrested him, had him jailed in a criminal conspiracy with Supervisor They moved to violate him for massaging his adult friends where there was periodic sexual contact with ass or crotch of friend getting massage. Under no legal theory, nor under Rule 27.1 terms / written conditions does this violate probation conditions.
8. The facts note that both were aware they were not acting lawfully yet, proceeded anyway. Causing Defendant to be unduly jailed, tax funds illegally used and wasted and on at a hearing, Judge upon request OF PROSECUTOR, dismissed the violation & released Defendant.
9. perjured herself in her affidavit dated on the matters and both engaged in a year campaign to harass and nearly cause Defendant to be homeless. **PLEASE SEE** motions filed in this cause at that time period. They engaged in a campaign to **not** let him get a roommate so I could not afford the home, then lied stating he was looking up porn to deny him computer use.
10. On about while Defendant attended a therapy group with , and about other probationer's, officers invaded the group. Defendant's then probation team of , had a legitimate reason to be there. Though officer , had zero legitimate reason to be there. somewhat, mostly [a former Surveillance Officer of Defendants] mocked his court petitions & inferred he was acting like a baby crying to the court, that the court does not care what he has to say. , lied & exaggerated Defendant's past behaviors to make it seem he was an active predator of men. Defendant submitted a Grievance To who said she disciplined them. **PLEASE SEE HIS COURTS MOTIONS FROM THAT PERIOD** in .
11. This Defendant's file in details further calculated probation sabotage that followed and years of gross misconduct against him by . **PLEASE SEE** his filings.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**