

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-198

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 23, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge unjustly prolonged her Department of Child Safety case which impeded her access to her children.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2024.

Comp

24-198

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: unethical/biased judge DCS CORRUPT

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

CASE NUMBER

please look into my case

First I would like to state that Judge _____
for years now.

has Unnecessarily Prolonged my case

I received Physical Custody of my Children, back in _____
Concerns however my case was has not progressed.

without any Safety

The judge stated In her order

In _____, the court denied the motion to dismiss, however, to ensure a smooth transition to the independent housing she secured through _____. In _____, the court withdrew its request for dismissal due to ongoing concerns regarding stability as Mother had already fallen behind in rent. Mother was evicted again but has now entered another housing program where she is residing currently.

The judge states she denied Dismissal To Ensure a smooth transition into Independent Housing.

However I noticed plenty of my co residents with open cases getting their cases dismissed upon entry into the Program. So it was very confusing that I had to be ensured a smooth transition. (Case being dragged along without any safety concerns)

Article 2, § 11 of the Arizona Constitution requires that "Justice in all cases shall be administered openly, and without unnecessary delay."

Also leaving out that I was being evicted because i wasn't able to break my lease after being a victim of a violent crime .

& trying to get out of the lease.

After I was denied the opportunity to break my lease I moved to protect my children's safety as the suspect did know where I resided. (Judge purposely Making it seem as I fell behind on rent) When this fact was submitted & provided to the judge formally & orally.

My thing is even if I did fall behind on rent & was evicted as she stated in her order. I was still able to secure a housing transition for my children as she stated “

Clearly I am & was still able to provide for my children's basic needs including food shelter & clothing.

If stability is an issue in my case why is the judge not ordering the department to help me as Housing should not be a barrier to getting your children back from the department

Why is it being stated that this is one of the reasons they are being taken away After I have continuously showed that I am able to reach out to community resources if needed.

Now i want to get into where I feel like my rights are being violated & the judge is participating in Biases & misconduct

The law states that

A judge must avoid conduct that may reasonably be perceived as prejudiced or biased.

A judge shall require lawyers in proceedings before the court to refrain from manifesting bias or prejudice, or engaging in harassment, based upon attributes including but are not limited to epithets; slurs; demeaning nicknames; negative stereotyping; attempted humor based upon stereotypes; threatening, intimidating, or hostile acts; suggestions of connections between race, ethnicity, or nationality and crime; and irrelevant references to personal characteristics. Facial expressions and body language may convey to parties and lawyers in the proceeding, jurors, the media, and others an appearance of bias or prejudice. A judge must avoid conduct that may reasonably be

At my last court date on

The judge did not object to the children's attorney negatively stereotyping.

My children's attorney stated on the record.

In his exact words

“

”

Surprisingly the judge said nothing about this statement. My attorney had to go on record in my defense to explain to Attorney that just because someone's nickname is dose not qualify them to be dangerous. (Clear bold negative stereotyping)

So what's happening here is I've completed every service provided after years of relentlessly fighting for my children. The state pulling out of a petition to sever & the state also failing to provide necessary evidence for the right to sever at an evidentiary hearing. I was awarded physical custody of my children back in of

Ever since then the state and children's attorneys have had a vendetta against me and have convinced this judge to unnecessarily prolong this case without any profound safety concerns & multiple fraudulent filed cps that went denied for months

In of I was a Victim of a violent crime which I will provide the police report. I was listed as the victim.

A Change of Physical Custody motion was submitted to My Judge by the Department & The Children's attorney's.

Although the Department told me they were not Going to file. They magically changed their mind a week later which I believe now that The Department was convinced by the children's attorney to file. The motions were filed back in

The Change of Physical Custody was granted by my Judge in of

What I don't understand is how are they taking my children based upon me being a victim of a crime?

The judge states In Motion

Which the judge was dishonest in her motion.

“ .”

Again I've had my children back in my Physical Custody since of

what pattern of violent conflict? My kids were taken again of meaning I've had them in my physical custody for over a year.

I haven't been accused of any violent conflicts my entire case?

“ .”

How was I supposed to know the situation would turn violent?

I had a disagreement with my friend, she left and came back with a friend & the. proceeded to attack me in front of my children & then proceeded to pull out a gun a start shooting..

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**