

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-193

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 23, 2024

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a probate case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2024.

I'm angry and upset over these series of events – you would be too. So upset that it's makes me ill thinking about it. Even my spirit cries from the injustice. Being , this is elder abuse. However, that's the least of the "crimes" being committed against me. The worst part is the courts complicity and furtherance of these crimes. Instead of listening and finding truth and justice, they would prefer to label me a troublemaker, then punish and gag me. I have taken these crimes to the police, the , even the . They all agreed, crimes existed, but passed the buck saying it's an issue for the courts. Well... the courts aren't doing diddly and making things worse.

My story is long and to cover all the details and evidence would take more words than the space I'm allowed or the average person's patience to read. Equally, to explain everything is mentally and physically exhausting.

My parents saved to acquire a couple rental homes to supplement their retirement income. They created a trust to pass the income source to their children. Mom was the last trustor, and months before her passing, members of the family circle took advantage of her condition to silently steal of the rental properties and her life insurance. I, as trustee, had taken steps to have the properties returned to the trust. That would not be difficult, as mom legally removed all her authority regarding everything years before. She knew from her prior experience, her ageing was making her susceptible to confidence crimes – from strangers, friends, and family.

A trust 'issue' lawyered up after () years of silence. The objective was removing me as trustee. Only possible motive... 'Issue' is child of individual who stole property ... removal acted to protect his mother from potential criminal charges. The trust was unable to obtain counsel and was forced, **FORCED** into self-representation. Without counsel, the trust mistakenly filed a motion that quickly and adversely ended the case. **No evidence or claims were examined or scrutinized. Truths were never established.** Judge , without any factual basis simply agreed with the "issues" unethical attorney and all the lies they presented. My pointing out that the court was being used for the obstruction of justice meant nothing. Frankly, I

By

concerning

Judges

don't believe anything I submitted was even looked at. Higher courts stayed blind to the truth and remained only on technical merits. Recently I was denied an expedited hearing before the _____ concerning "Civil Gideon". The right of representation for any serious and adverse legal action. It will now be taking the slower route in federal courts.

Meanwhile, we move the same case to a new judge (_____), • the appointed fiduciary/trustee • and the same unethical "issue" attorney. The horrific lies from the attorneys' earlier filings, even though unsubstantiated, still linger.

Over the fiduciary's term, I gathered evidence... strong, convincing evidence of - criminal negligence - unlawful business practices with incompetence – perjury – prejudice – bias - and the disregarding of court orders. An audit would probably show embezzlement too. As the fiduciary moved to illegally do as the "issues" and their attorney desired – break the trust – I filed a legitimate "grievance" against the fiduciary.

Judge _____ turned the 'grievance hearing' into an 'accounting approval hearing' to give my parents trust its final deadly blow. I was NOT allowed to present my grievance.

Before finishing my first paragraph, I was interrupted. The court wanted only my objections to the fiduciaries accounting, then repeatedly complained that my prepared collaboration was not labeled A,B,C, like trial exhibits. My grievance filing had included evidence - supplied only in case any of my spoken truths were questioned, and give opposition opportunity for prior review. (Which they admitted to not seriously reviewing.)

Judge _____ acted confused like she hadn't read what I filed. The lack of desire to seek truth, or even hear the grievance I had to present; gave an extremely strong appearance of someone in cahoots with the criminal fiduciary, and unethical attorney. When it became obvious that the judge was not letting the grievance hearing proceed, the other parties present were told to bill me completely and fully for expenses. The judge coddled the

By

concerning

Judges

attorneys saying I wasted their time to prepare and appear. [Prepare?] My days of preparation and a -minute drive one way wasn't important, nor were the criminal facts in my grievance. Judge wanted me heavily billed for a grievance hearing she wasn't allowing to happen. The hearing ended with the judge sarcastically adding that I just had my day in court... I verbally disagreed. Truthfully, my "day" won't exist until someone listens and the injustice has been corrected.

Just who is going to correct this grievous injustice? How can it be made right? Am I treated so unjustly because I don't have the finances for an attorney, and our 'system' won't appoint one for a 'trust' issue. **I'm forced to live homeless because of a corrupt and criminal fiduciary.** Just where is the truth and justice in America everyone is promised?

In Arizona courts, I haven't seen it yet.

Thank you for reading
