

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-169

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

January 17, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace failed to continue a trial when Complainant was taking medications for pain and representing himself in a misdemeanor criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 17, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2024-169

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Refused to reschedule the \_\_\_\_\_ trial date, even after receiving the Defendants' Hospital records and record of 2 broken Rib injuries of \_\_\_\_\_ as well as a list of the prescribed Opiod Medications the Defendant was taking for pain. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Failed to timely rule on the Defenses request of \_\_\_\_\_ for a continuance of trial for a later date, but waited until \_\_\_\_\_ to rule that " \_\_\_\_\_ ."

Only with the assistance of his Witness did the Defendant (Pro se) appeared on \_\_\_\_\_ under the Influence of his prescribed HYDROcodone medications in Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Courtroom. The Defendant annouced that he " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which Judge \_\_\_\_\_ smiled and said that the Defendants statement was " \_\_\_\_\_ ."

The trial, which was scheduled for 2.5hours (which seemed inadequate), instead lasted over 7 hours during which the Defendant consumed (for pain management) and additional dose of his prescribed OXYcodone (instant release tab), followed shortly thereafter (following lunch) with an additional dose of his prescribed HYDROcodone medication which the Defendant took by mistake while under the influence of the prevoius dose of OXYcodone (instant release) medication. This led the Defendant (Pro se) to lose any consious recollections of the end of the trial, nor did the Defendant knowingly agree or disagree with any Stipulation, Motions or Orders made after this Opiod induced Blackout.

The Defendant also asserts that he did not knowingly end his defense and had an additional Witness and Evidence and Motions that were not called or submitted to the court do to Accute Opiod Intoxication, which left the Defendant completely unable to continue a coherent and effective defense against the charges filed in the Staes Complaint.

According to Witness \_\_\_\_\_ after the Defense and Prosecution rested and the Judge declared the trial over (and asked the clerk to turn off the Courts Audio recording), The Prosecutor approached the Bench and discussed changing the " \_\_\_\_\_ " dates on some " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which the Judge stated " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which the Prosecutor then replied " \_\_\_\_\_ . After a short moment the Judge asked the Defendant if he was ok with the chanches to the dates, which the Juddes stated that: " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which the Defendant replied a long " \_\_\_\_\_ ' in a questioning manner. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ then asked the Defendant is he understood what was happening, to which the Defendant replied ' \_\_\_\_\_ . Then Judge \_\_\_\_\_ instructed the Defendant in a manner confusing even to Witness \_\_\_\_\_ who wasn't even sure what Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was saying in regards to these after trial date change (s). Witness \_\_\_\_\_ felt that the Judge was deliberately trying to confuse the Defendant about the nature of these date changes, and felt the Defendant did not know what he ultimately aeed to.

After enduring this lengthy trial, the Defendant was re-admitted to the Hospital the next morning for Nausea and Pain which resulted in a 16hour stay and additional Opiod (MORPHINE) medication to manage the additional pain he was now experiencing. If nothing else, It was Cruel and Unusual punishment inflicted on the Defendant by this Judge.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ refused to reschedule the \_\_\_\_\_ trial date. even after receiving the Defendants' Hospital record which describe 2 broken Rib injuries on \_\_\_\_\_ as well as a list of the prescribed Opiod Medications the Defendant was taking for pain. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ failed to timely rule on Defendants motion of \_\_\_\_\_ for continuance of trial for a later date, but instead waited until \_\_\_\_\_ to rule that " \_\_\_\_\_ , in spite of good cause being shown.

Only with the assistance of his Witness did the Defendant (Pro per) appeared on \_\_\_\_\_ under the Influence of his prescribed HYDROcodone medications in Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Courtroom. The Defendant announced that he " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which Judge \_\_\_\_\_ smiled and said that the Defendants statement was " \_\_\_\_\_ .

The trial, which was scheduled for 2.5hours (which seemed inadequate), instead lasted over 7 hours during which the Defendant consumed (for pain management) and additional dose of his prescribed OXYcodone (instant release tab), followed shortly thereafter (following lunch) with an additional dose of his prescribed HYDROcodone medication which the Defendant took by mistake while under the influence the prevoius dose of OXYcodone (instant release) medication. This led the Defendant to lose any consious recollections of the end of the trial, nor did the Defendant knowingly agree or disagree with any Stipulation, Motions or Orders made after this Opiod induced Blackout.

The Defendant also asserts that he did not knowingly end his defense and had an additional Witness and Evidence and Motions that were not called or submitted to the court do to Accute Opiod Intoxication, which left the Defendant completely unable to continue a coherent and effective defense against the charges filed in the States Complaint. The Defendant has no memory of the rest of that day.

According to Witness \_\_\_\_\_ after the Defense and Prosecution rested and the Judge declared the trial over (and asked the clerk to turn off the Courts Audio recording), The Prosecutor approached the Bench and discussed changing the " \_\_\_\_\_ ' dates on some " \_\_\_\_\_ . to which the Judge stated " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which the Prosecutor then replied " \_\_\_\_\_ . After a short moment the Judge asked the Defendant if he was ok with the changes to the dates. which the Judges stated that: " \_\_\_\_\_ , to which the Defendant replied a long " \_\_\_\_\_ ? in a questioning manner.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ then asked the Defendant is he understood what was happening, to which the Defendant replied " \_\_\_\_\_ . Then Judge \_\_\_\_\_ nstructed the Defendant in a manner confusing even to Witness \_\_\_\_\_ who wasn't even sure what Judge \_\_\_\_\_ was saying in regards to these amendment(s).

Witness \_\_\_\_\_ elt that the Judge was deliberately trying to confuse the Defendant as to the nature of these amendment(s), and felt that the Defendant did not know what he ultimately was ageeing to.

After enduring this lengthy trial, the Defendant was re-admitted to the Hospital on \_\_\_\_\_ for Nausea and Pain which resulted in a 16 hour stay and additional Opiod (MORPHINE) medication to manage the additional pain he was now experiencing. If nothing else, It was Cruel and Unusual punishment inflicted on the injured Defendant by this Sadistic Judge.