

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-117

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 14, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and allowed evidence to be reused in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 14, 2024.

2024-117

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name: judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am in a custody case the started back in the end of _____ and beginning of _____, and in this time have requested a Therapeutic interventionist(TI) on multiple occasions, a one by motion that I was denied and so I requested the judge to reconsider and I was denied again and instead he appointed a Best Interest Attorney the mother requested. A BIA is not trained in identifying parental alienation or any other psychological issues that might be going on, where as a TI would be investigating mother, father and children. With the request of a TI I was not excluding myself from any fault or investigation rather I was only trying to get all parties that are having problems involved with the TI so we could identify the problem. On _____ in person, in court I asked for a TI again and again I was denied but this time I was told it was "_____". Then the judge ordered me for a psychological evaluation which cost _____ and took _____ months. When I showed up to the doctors for my evaluation I had to sign a fee agreement and on this fee agreement had a list of the doctors specialties and one of her specialties was therapeutic interventionist. The time and money was an issue only when the mother was involved. That cost would have been a lot less expensive if mother and I both had to split it and the time would have been more productive looking into all parties instead of just one. We had trial _____ and with my time on the stand I pointed out that I spent _____ in _____ months and the only difference was mother. This is where the judge on the stand under transcript told me "_____". I spent that much money on my evaluation, I would have paid more for the TI. He denied me of a TI on more than 2 occasions and never once was I offered a TI if I paid the entire thing. When I pointed this out on the stand he lied and blamed his actions on me. There are 2 parents/parties involved but the courts only ever want to explore one over the duration of _____ months and only ever rule in the favor one and is willing to lie and possibly break the law to do so.

There were two contempts of court filed against mother for violating the parenting time agreement that were at separate times and had nothing to do with one another but only ruled on the one that would most favor the mom.

I was in a custody case ir _____ with the same person for the same thing, but in my case here in _____ she used the exact same evidence in the trial then that she is using now. I don't believe that I have to pay twice to defend myself twice against the exact same thing there has already been a ruling on but the judge is allowing it to happen. He is intentionally trying to railroad my case by appointing people that aren't in the best interest of the kids but in the best interest of mother, when questioned about it he lied on the stand and blamed me for his actions then is trying to judge me on evidence already used. he is doing this with such ease that I am at a real concern at how many other times he has done this and ruined an innocent child's life.

I plead with anyone to please help and look in to the transcripts and find where I was offered to pay half of the TI and please follow up with the recycled evidence.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Thank you,