

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-034

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 14, 2024

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a justice of the peace hearing a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 14, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-034

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attachments

Witnesses:

Court Administrator ()

Truck Operator: ()

Case Number:

Plaintiff

Vs.

Defendant

Dear Judicial Conduct Commission Members:

It truly saddens me, what has happened, how it happened, why it happened, when even due process was also ignored? Also sickened seemingly countless times, and if what was law was at least honored, there would be no complaint. In the absence of due process, I've also been denied of that entitlement also. More than years ago, my car was t-boned, and what has since happened is wholly incomprehensible to me for many reasons also? Do I think it's a reflection of how corrupt society has become, when not long ago, almost became a "Sanctuary City"? I'm typically not one to take a political stance, and I certainly won't do so now, but I'm truly amazed how often what is law, isn't observed as law, when those who ignore what is law, use the law to persecute you? With respect of the differences between superiority and inferiority on a human life safety perspective, I'm willing to skimp on toilet paper, socks and t-shirts, and I refuse to compromise my life safety for no reason. That said, did I go out and look for the least expensive body shop that I could find, which would patch my vehicle back together for a cheaply as possible, and I further didn't care how they did so, no? What did I instead do, I choose what appeared to be the best voted body shop in years running, also a business which proclaimed to represent the highest body shop standards known. What have I since discovered; although lengthy, this is the simplified version my story.

1. As said, more than years ago and I wasn't even in the state of Arizona, when my vehicle was t-boned while parked on residential side street? Did the guilty party respectfully honor the implied Arizona accident reporting laws, and was she then drunk? Instead, and the guilty party walked over to my sons-in-law's house drunk, and she did however contact her insurer because, she also wrecked her own car. As for what happened next, and I'm appealing what was further done to my vehicle and to me. In hindsight of the recent court ruling, and I'm waiting for due process to happen? In hindsight of what has happened, I would have been far better off if the guilty party did nothing, said nothing and just drove off into the sunset drunk.
2. My son-in-law then covering up for not his neighbor, but instead for the neighbor's mother? My son-in-law in turn has cancelled his relationship with his mother and I, and all of this now turned into divisional internal family war?
3. What was I told about the accident besides next to nothing, nor respectfully told how and why the accident happened? Was I least given the contact information for the guilty mother, of course not? I instead given the Insurers contact number, and what happened when I called the insurer, the insurer denied all responsibility, when further told were to go for an estimate, when also denied the use of a rental car?
4. As for the vile argument which thereafter occurred, then what I was legally entitled too, the Insurer the position of my vehicle being drivable, when surely not drivable?
5. I then faced with the decision to have my vehicle towed from Arizona, when to discover that no body-shop would accept possession of my vehicle. What choices did I then have, and my t-boned vehicle was certainly in drivable condition, when not-safe to operate. I then made the decision to drive my vehicle home, and thankfully most of the drive was on the interstate, and that never forgotten drive was nothing but a bone-chilling scary experience.
6. Why did I chose the , because they were a icon, they had a desk located in , and I was impressed by what was disclosed on their website? I then met and asked for an appraisal, and I always ask the question where I go for services, how long have you been doing this? The inspection taking a mere matter of minutes, when it took more time to walk to the vehicle? How clueless and naïve was I then to the many dangers that lurked in every direction, when getting an accident estimate was a life first for me?
7. I then not a grey haired old man, but a white haired really old retired resident, and I unknowingly and innocently was then standing on the execution firing line, when what became thereafter to become one of the biggest nightmares of my entire life.

8. What did _____ first do before he issued his appraisal results to me, he sent his purposely skewed and inaccurate estimate to the insurer, when why, and what reasons did he do so? Did not the fortune 100 insurance company mogul then have local appraisers in the area, when not to forget, I was told where to take my vehicle? What did *FOR YOUR PROTCTION* then represent, when it certainly didn't mean dilly to _____ ; when it meant everything to me.
9. I then asked many questions about my vehicle, when unquestionably asked who the insurer was? What should have my personal and vehicle information then represent, and it certainly was protected by Arizona privacy laws, when again it meant less-than dilly to _____ once again?
10. What did _____ then do with my personal and vehicle information, he sent it to _____. What did _____ then do my personal and vehicle information, sent my personal and vehicle information to an independent 3rd party auto collision estimator contractor, of which was located in auto thief capital of the world, _____ ?
11. Where was the Corporate Office of the 3rd party appraiser contractor located, when notably in _____ Arizona.
12. What did the significant number of omissions, errors, and mistakes made in the Defendants Preliminary Estimate then represent, when the Type of Loss wasn't noted, when the Point of Impact wasn't noted, when the Policy # wasn't noted, and when the Date of Loss wasn't noted; when notably the Days's to Repair entry was "0" day repair time period?
13. The Claim # _____ noted, when _____ meant nothing? The _____ Insurance Company quoted as the "Insurer", when the Insurer was _____ ? Most of blanks were left empty in the Vehicle category, when the Mileage In entry of _____ was entered, versus the _____ Invoice milage entry was _____ miles?
14. How was the vehicle transported to the _____ when not towed, when _____ personally drove the vehicle to the _____ ? Did the Defendant then explain why the driver side tail-light was replaced, when the tail-light wasn't damaged before _____ drove my then unsafe vehicle across town?
15. Notably _____ months later, and the _____ Invoice had a _____ mileage entry, and was the _____ Invoice was a forgery; when the vehicle wasn't towed but drive to the dealership?
16. Did a _____ Company representative then come to my house on _____ , no? Was my vehicle then towed off the _____ property _____ months later

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**