

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-370

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 15, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 15, 2023.

To: Arizona Judicial Commission

Re: Formal Complaint against
 Co. Judge Hon.

Dear Commission,

I am writing in hopes of stopping a ongoing open and pervasive manifest injustice, and the trampling of a human beings civil and constitutional rights.

First, I will not deny I have a past history dealing with addiction and criminal behavior, but that was states away, and almost 40 years ago, but then that should have nothing to do with now.

I was arrested for crimes involving incidents at my former place of employment in

there are plenty of extenuating circumstances involved in my arrest; illegal police interrogation tactics, lies, misrepresentation, and eventually PD has gone onto Terence with evidence.

However, some of this has bearing on Judge's conduct from our very 1st meeting, to now.

1. First, Judge orders a Terence Hearing, after the arraignment Judge (Hon. Judge) and a former Pub. Def. both denied me my right to a Fast & Speedy Trial. Plus, both Judge & my

Judge

→ argued the prosecution ^{ORDER OF} for Oral Arguments on the Conditions of Release in my case, because I suffer from () Life-threatening diseases ()

Judge denies me a ROR, totally against Arizona §13-3967 Release on bailable offenses...

The 1st main fact to show the Court should its discretion, "throw-out the entire Probable Cause Narrative of lack of ANY evidence to support even why I am sitting in jail. What victim (especially a multi-billion \$ Co.) waits those () days before calling the Police to report a crime, and further continuously lies to the police?

2. Next, Judge replaces with his "or-in" for that day with "which is a PD inside creating

a conflict of interest, and sets my trial fee

3. Judge repeatedly denies several request for Alternative Defense Co under Rule C.P. 6.5⁽²⁾ for a Investigative 6.7, saying its up to the Public Defender to do so. Due to a conflict of interests, and growing irreconcilable differences between and my self - 6.5 other private counsel is the only options to receive a fair trial.

4. Judge summarily dismisses a Habeas Corpus / Filed with the Clerk of, directed to Sheriff & P.D. on, without allowing for the proper service or response to a writ, in violation of Rule Const. art. II, sec. 14 art. 26 Habeas Corpus (Sec. 13-4121 to Sec. 13-4130) alienating the process.

5. the Dep. P.D. in Judge Courtroom (sends my counsel an email, discussing the PD "editing/redacting" a Body Cam video (tampering) of an interview between me & them, but goes on to say -

"I have a Constitutional Right to a Jury Trial, and I have disclose, so PD is means "morally retarded or foolish", and extremely humiliating and unethical & unprofessional. I ^{FILED} submit a Motion, Memorandum, and Affidavit of Truth (The Prosecutor openly retaliates by filing to deescalate my charges - the next day because of my complaint)

The Hearing is to the Court. We have my 4th Motion for New Counsel, where Dep. P.D. admitted to sending the email in open court, also my Attorney after I complained to the Dep. P.D. Filed for Judgment due to the State With Holding evidence; and Judge refuses to honor his Judicial Oath 2-15 and report, impersonal or do something about the Attorney Misconduct. He allows the State to trample over my rights repeatedly, and practices law from the bench, favoring the State AGAINST A INNOCENT MAN.

I, Kevin state the above is true & correct to the best of my knowledge under penalties of perjury

Executed