

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-094

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 25, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court was biased against him and improperly failed to grant a request for change in counsel.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 25, 2021.

2021-094

THIS COMPLAINT IS DIRECTED FOR THE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AGAINST JUDGE AND HIS CONDUCT OF BEING BIAS PREJUDICE AND UNFAIRLY AND SHOWING CONFLICT OF INTEREST AGAINST I AS THIS MATTER TOOK PLACE ON FOR A MOTION TO CHANGE COUNCIL, BECAUSE OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT WITH COUNCIL WHEN I ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF CONFLICT THAT ME AND COUNCIL HAD THE DAY OF THE HEARING. 1) JUDGE COMMUNICATION WAS ACTING PROPERLY WITH ONE SIDE TO A PROCEEDING NOT SHOWING JUSTICE AFTER I ADDRESS I BEEN SEXUAL HARASSMENT SEVERAL TIMES BY COUNCIL WHICH VIOLATES THE STANDARD SET FORTH IN THE ARIZONA OF CONDUCT 1(A) 2) THE MOTION TO CHANGE COUNCIL WAS NOT BEEN HEARD WHICH SHOWS BIAS AND VIOLATES MY FIRST AMENDMENT TO BE HEARD. 3) THE MOTION TO CHANGE COUNCIL BECAUSE OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT PROMISES AND BRIBE BY COUNCIL CREATE A CONFLICT WHICH SHOULD CHANGE COUNCIL FOR MY DEFENSE WITH IS A SIX AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT WHEN I ADDRESS NEW COUNCIL SHOULD BE APPOINTED BECAUSE OF GENUINE IRRECONCILABLE CONFLICTS EXISTS BETWEEN THE DEFENDANTS STATES V. HE STILL WAS BIAS AND SHOW ONE SIDE ACTION.