

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-054

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

June 23, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, failed to control her courtroom, failed to afford him an equal opportunity to be heard, failed to rule on a motion, engaged in ex parte communications, and made rulings unsupported by the evidence in a family law matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 23, 2021.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2021-054

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Temporary Orders hearing - The judge failed to control the courtroom due to documented outbursts by the respondent, resulting in a failure to cross-examine the respondent to showcase lying in the courtroom which would have driven an appropriate result. Mother controlled this hearing and was allowed significantly more time than Father. Due to the aforementioned, the judge ruled in a very bias and discriminatory fashion against Father (me), attacking his " " and painting him as an abuser even though proof was provided via original police report that Mother lied about the incidents the judge based this ruling on during the hearing. The judge did not reference the provided evidence and has maintained a bias against Father even in the face of further evidence blatantly showing Mother lied to this date. The judge also failed to rule on Father's contempt motion against mother for her tactical evasion of service and intentional gamesmanship on filed on \_\_\_\_\_ in the under advisement ruling, even in the face of strong evidence and proof provided. The judge ordered in this ruling a juvenile case be opened, which was dismissed on \_\_\_\_\_

Drug Testing Bias and Discrimination, Failure to equitably apply law, Failure to acknowledge evidence and maintain judicial integrity and confidence, Favoritism to Mother, Failure to recognize appellate decisions and denial of reconsideration motion in favor of assisting Mother in stealing from Father - at the end of \_\_\_\_\_, Mother filed a motion on false grounds for drug testing for Father, and Father filed the same weeks prior due to the children claiming Mother was smoking marijuana in the home. In the coming weeks, Father's hair test popped positive for cocaine but all urine tests were clean. Mother failed to appear for her first two tests and then diluted three tests. In a temporary orders without notice hearing on \_\_\_\_\_, the judge would grant sole legal and physical custody to Mother, not knowing of the diluted tests. On a \_\_\_\_\_ trial to solidify orders, Mother would lie repeatedly, contradicting herself on her marijuana card hidden from the court and \_\_\_\_\_ in cross examination and cause enough of an extension of cross examination to allow only \_\_\_\_\_ for Father to state his case. The judge did not handle the time in the courtroom, give Father an opportunity to state his case or provide information legally required (citing *Heidbreder v Heidbreder* which states the court has to consider certain items from both parties and give both the opportunity to be heard which is a civil right prior to making child support orders) and would instead allow mother's fabricated financial information for both parties (yes Father as well) and issue extremely unjust orders favoring Mother completely and ignoring the diluted tests in addition to Mother's cross-examination debacle/fabricated finances. The judge also failed to allow confirmation testing to protect Mother after Father petitioned for it with \_\_\_\_\_ support.

Father would raise these issues in subsequent motions including contempt and motion for reconsideration, but the judge has continued to fail to acknowledge clear proof of litigation misconduct, perjury, and bias/discrimination in favor of simply continuing to get a smile and satisfaction (this has happened during hearings \_\_\_\_\_) in sticking it to Father. I have no confidence in the judicial system at this point and my children continue to suffer greatly at the hands of a judge who has continued to rule based on her personal motivation against me as a male and a fabricated persona by Mother rather than equitably apply the law. In researching the judge online, it appears near \_\_\_\_\_ other cases on her docket share the same feedback, both attorneys and litigants alike citing the same.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I have significant proof to offer to support the above stated and would greatly appreciate the opportunity to engage the commission in resolving this issue. I am aware of the lack of ability to overturn rulings and so forth, but this judge needs to be held accountable because when I spoke to a \_\_\_\_\_ about this issue, I was told to go this route first unless this fails. My civil rights and right to due process has not been upheld at any hearing in over \_\_\_\_\_ of having this judge, and I would greatly appreciate consideration in ensuring the conduct is addressed.

I have lost over \$ \_\_\_\_\_ as a result of the \_\_\_\_\_ ruling, which the judge refuses to address even in the face of overwhelming proof. The judge always claims good cause for Mother and ignores the facts I provide showing proof of lying and misconduct, while denying anything I file. This really is my last option to attempt some degree of resolution and enforcement of standards of conduct prior to going to the media and federal resources being willing to engage.

Thank you for the consideration.