

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-260

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 11, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased in favor of the prosecution, improperly failed to recuse from his criminal matters, and had made erroneous rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 11, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-260

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

(SEE ATTACHED)

FORMAL COMPLAINT LETTER

2020-260

DEAR COMMISSIONERS:

HAS ENGAGED IN A SYSTEMATIC PATTERN,
PRACTICE AND POLICY OF WANTON MISCONDUCT, IN VIOLATION OF HER
OATH OF OFFICE, THE ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, RULES OF THE SUPRE-
ME COURT, JUDICIAL ETHICS, RULE 81, RULE 42 OF THE ARIZONA RULES
OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE
VI SECTION §21 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE VI.I.

COMPLAINANT HUMBLBY INFORMS THIS COMMISSION THAT HE IS ILLEG-
ALLY INCARCERATED, BASED ON CORRUPT PROCESS, SINCE
OVER AGO....

ATTACHED IS MY "MOTION FOR PREJUDICIAL TO
RECUSE, GROUNDS FOR DISQUALIFICATION AS PER ARIZONA CODE OF JU-
DICIAL CONDUCT RULE 2.11(CX1)", FILED ON (EXHIBIT "A")
AND MY CHRONOLOGY OF DEFENSE PROSECUTION AND JUDICIAL
ADJUDICATIONS SINCE THAT TIME TO THE PRESENT.

AS EVEN A REASONABLE LAY PERSON CAN SEE, HAS MAIN-
TAINED CONSISTANT CORRUPT PROCESS IN HER UNBLINDED
SUPPORT OF , PROSECUTOR FOR THE STATE, EXERCISED
IN HER SELF IMPOSED ROLE OF " "

COMMISSIONER HAS INTENTIONALLY "(a) VIOLATED THE
RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT" RULE 42 ERB.4 MISCONDUCT,
KNOWINGLY "(b) COMMITTED A CRIMINAL ACT THAT REFLECTS
ADVERSELY ON THE LAWYER'S HONESTY, TRUSTWORTHINESS
OR FITNESS" HAS "(c) ENGAGED IN CONDUCT INVOLVING DISHON-
ESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR MISREPRESENTATION" HAS "(d) EN-
GAGED IN CONDUCT THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRA-
TION OF JUSTICE" AND "(f) KNOWINGLY ASSIST A JUDGE OR

JUDICIAL OFFICER IN CONDUCT THAT IS A VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT OR OTHER LAW".

COMMISSIONER KNOWINGLY, WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT, DELIBERATELY ABUSED THE POWER OF HER OFFICE, BRINGING DISCREDIT AND SHAME UPON THE JUDICIAL PROFESSION, BY FAILING TO "UPHOLD AND PROMOTE THE INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY" AND NEGLECTED TO "AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY" IN VIOLATION OF CANNON 1 OF THE ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT, RULE 81, RULES OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT. SAID ACTIONS IN "NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW" FAILED TO "PROMOTE CONFIDENCE IN THE JUDICIARY" AND "ABUSED THE PRESTIGE OF JUDICIAL OFFICE", IN VIOLATION OF CANNONS 1.1, 1.2 + 1.3, RESPECTIVELY.

VINDICTIVELY REFUSED TO "PERFORM THE DUTIES OF JUDICIAL OFFICE IMPARTIALLY, COMPETENTLY AND DILIGENTLY" IN VIOLATION OF CANNON 2, SPECIFICALLY RULE 2.2 BY INTENTIONALLY NOT UPHOLDING AND APPLYING THE LAW AND NOT PERFORMING "ALL DUTIES OF JUDICIAL OFFICE FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY". EMPLOYED PREMEDITATED "BIAS, PREJUDICE AND HARASSMENT" IN VIOLATION OF RULE 2.3, SPECIFICALLY 2.3(A)(B) WHEREIN COMMISSIONER MANIFESTED "BIAS OR PREJUDICE" AND ENGAGED IN "HARASSMENT" BASED ON THE PREJUDICIAL FACT, THAT COMPLAINANT IS/WAS REPRESENTING HIMSELF PROPER. PERMITTED AND ENCOURAGED "COURT STAFF, COURT OFFICIALS, OR OTHERS SUBJECT TO THE JUDGE'S DIRECTION AND CONTROL TO DO SO". DID NOT ALLOW COMPLAINANT TO COMMUNICATE OR WORK WITH HIS LEGAL ASSISTANT, IN OPEN COURT, DIRECT-

ING HER COURT STAFF TO INTIMIDATE, HARASS AND THEN PERMANENTLY BAN FROM THE COURT ROOM, FOR NO REASON, WITHOUT PROVOCATION OR GOOD CAUSE,

COLLUDED AND ACQUIESCED WITH THE CORRUPT MALICIOUS PROSECUTORIAL AGENDA, AS EVIDENCED THROUGH COURT RECORD, BY ALLOWING THE STATE TO ENGAGE IN PREMEDITATED OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, BY FOSTERING AND ENCOURAGING AN ATMOSPHERE OF BIASED HARASSMENT WITH THE BLESSING OF THE COURT, IN VIOLATION OF RULE 2.3(C), 2.12 AND 2.15(A)(C). IS/WAS OBVIOUSLY "EXTREMELY INFLUENCED" BY THE DICTATES OF - AND THE STATE'S INFLUENCE CONCERNING APPLICATION FOR JUDGESHIP, ACTING AS THE PROSECUTION", IN "VIOLATION OF RULE 2.4(C), MALFEASANCE OF OFFICE FOR PERSONAL GAIN.

IS IN VIOLATION OF RULE 2.5(A)(B) AND 2.7, BY NOT PERFORMING "JUDICIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES, DILIGENTLY AND PROMPTLY". DECEITFULLY CLAIMING TO HAVE ADJUDICATED MULTIPLE MATTERS, NEVER RULED UPON AND NOT FINALLY DISPOSITIONED, WHICH ARE NOW CLAIMANT'S MOTIONS TO THE FOR "SPEEDY DISPOSITION", BASED ON ARI-RULE 91(C) AND THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE VI SECTION 21, FOR MATTERS UNDECIDED AFTER 60 DAYS BY THE SUPERIOR COURT. SAID MOTIONS ATTACHED HERETO FOR YOUR PREVIEW AND HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO CLAIMANT'S HABEAS CORPUS FILED WITH THE COURT CASE NO. (SEE ATTACHED EXHIBITS)

ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS DURING OPEN COURT SESSIONS,

DENIED, OBSTRUCTED AND VIOLATED COMPLAINANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT OF FREE SPEECH BY A VARIETY OF OBFUSCATION METHODS, SPECIFICALLY, DELIBERATELY CURTAILING CONVERSATION AND SPEECH; INTENTIONAL CONFUSING OF MATERIAL FACTS, ESPECIALLY FOR THE ADJUDICATION OF SEPARATE CASES, MISJUDGING WHICH CASE IS WHICH, INTENTIONALLY, FOR OBFUSCATION; PURPOSEFULLY REDIRECTING OR MISDIRECTING COURT INTERACTIVE PARTY DIALOGS; MAKING FALSE CLAIMS OF CLAIMANT'S PUBLIC COURT ROOM " " ; ASSERTING UNTRUE POSTULATIONS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT NARRATIVES AND TAKING CLAIMANT'S STATEMENTS OUT OF CONTEXT WITH THE INTENT OF TOXIFYING LEGAL PROCESS. ALL SAID ACTIONS CONSTITUTING CORRUPTED EQUAL PROTECTION DUE PROCESS, IN VIOLATION OF RULE 2.6 AND A SHOWING OF GROUNDS FOR "DISQUALIFICATION" AS PER RULE 2.11. THE COMPLAINANT HEREBY INCORPORATES ALL REFERENCED TO: MOTIONS, NOTICES, OBJECTIONS, CORRESPONDENCES OR FILINGS, AS NOTED HEREIN, TO THIS SAID INSTANT COMPLAINT FILING.

COMMISSIONER OBVIOUS MORAL TURPITUDE WARRANTS THAT THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT ADHERE TO THE DICTATES OF THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION, AND PROTECT THE PUBLIC AT LARGE, BY RECOMMENDING FORMAL "SANCTIONS OF PUBLIC CENSURE, SUSPENSION AND REMOVAL."

SINCERE COMPLAINANT,

CC: _____ LEGAL ASSISTANT (NOTE: _____ WILL BE FORWARDING THE HISTORICAL COURT VIDEOS + ANY OTHER INFO YOU NEED.)

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**