

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-245

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 25, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner threatened two court-appointed doctors and falsified a court order.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Gus Aragón and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 25, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-245

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, _____ asserts
that Commissioner _____ registered
at the _____ and employed at
County Superior Court, _____

violated Rule 81, Arizona
Code of Judicial Conduct; Rule 1.1, 1.2, and 2.3,
and Rule 42, Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct;
E.R. 5.1, and 5.3. _____ argues that
is a thief and a fraud.

_____ was committed to competency
proceedings on the court's own motion in
_____, where he remained incompetent
and not restorable until _____. (see
Rule 11, Az. R. Cr. P., and ARS 13-4501 through
ARS 13-4517). Over the course of the

in _____ that _____ remained
in courtroom, he was examined by
psychologists who all
reported findings of incompetency and the
likelihood that he was gravely disabled and
not restorable. (

_____ the
court had previously declared him seriously
mentally ill (SMI). _____

ARS 13-2702 (A) - False Declaration (Perjury)
See State vs. Foster, 179 Ariz 442 (1994)

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Commissioner _____, who suffers from _____ had threatened and

Leslie _____ at evidentiary hearings, on the record, while reporting findings of incompetency and the likelihood that _____ was not restorable.

_____ demanded that _____ change their findings or they would be looking for new employers.

The complaint: On _____ posted an order on the docket (false declaration - fraud) finding _____ incompetent and transferring him to the trial court calendar. The order purports an evidentiary hearing was conducted and the proceeding was digitally recorded. (there was no hearing on _____ and no record was created as stated in her _____ order, we were not in court until _____, and the order efiled on _____ later). In that order _____ states that _____ records are

through and detailed, however, she failed to mention those records declared _____ incompetent and not restorable.

_____ continued

ARS 13-2810(4) - Interfering With Judicial Proceedings (publishes false declarations)

Continued

was involuntarily committed to competency proceedings on the court's own motion and was held past the legal 21 month time limit.

a seriously mental ill (SMI) suffering from a serious head injury.

A person whose mental condition is such that he lacks the capacity to understand the nature and object of the proceedings against him, to consult with counsel, and to assist in his defense may not be subject to trial.

It is clear, based on "false declaration" minute entry order dated ... and efiled to the docket, she is a thief and a fraud. Disciplinary sanctions are appropriate. (Ar. Const., article 6, sections 3 and 4)

Date

Complainant