

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-157

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 26, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner condoned an assault on him, prejudged his case, perjured himself, improperly revoked his pro per status, altered the record, was biased against him, and did not allow him to be heard.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 26, 2020.

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Comp
2020-1571

Hello, my name is
incarcerated at the
detention facility, at

I am currently
adult

I am writing in concern of jurist misconduct, by
Court Judge Who on
Refused to secure the safety and security
of the defendant, me, who while taking
notes at the defence table in Judge Courtroom
during recess was approached by officer
and summarily assaulted by the officer who silently
approached the defendant, then without provocation
grabbed the defendant's hand ripping the pencil
from his hand gouging and tearing open the
defendant's hand between thumb and forefinger
causing the wound to bleed, and at the same time
yelling at the defendant stating quote "you're not
proper you can't have a pencil", also at this
same time officer Struck the defendant
on the upper shoulder and neck area knocking the
defendant approximately feet in his chair,
causing abrasion and bruising.
all this assault was captured upon the court
security camera.

When Judge reconvened the hearing minutes
after the assault, the defendant immediately
page one

Page two

apprised both Judge [redacted] and the County prosecutor that the defendant had just moments before been incredibly ASSAULTED Right in the Courtroom, the defendant on Audio, Video, transcriptual record requested that the Audio, Video evidence of the incident be incorporated into evidence of the assault and used to prosecute the offending officer.

Ironically the Courtroom assault by officer [redacted] is of a far more serious charge than the defendant's Category [redacted] Charge.

The defendant turned to the prosecutor and clearly stated that the defendant or the victim in this case of the assault desires prosecution.

Judge [redacted] response was unethically bias and juridically barren of fairness and oppressively inconsistent with court decorum, even conspiratorily malicious malfeasance as Judge [redacted] stated whatever happened to me in his Courtroom is no concern of his other than the sole Judication of my case.

Thus disregarding the safety and security of myself the defendant who knows well now that at any time in Judge [redacted] Courtroom he could be summarily assaulted again.

The County prosecutor [redacted] and Judge [redacted] both on Court documents in the form of
Continued

Page three

formal Complaint letters and motions requesting Criminal prosecution of the assaulting officer have all been formally denied.

Both prosecutor and Judge refuse secure the safety of the defendant and conspiratorily refuse to take any action against officer for his assault,

The Judge and prosecutors collusion to not protect the defendant in a United States of America Courtroom from physical assault is until now unconceivable, The on going trauma and stress of the incident and its implications of futuristically condoned behavior and overt bias is causing the defendant a great deal of anxiety and fear of any courtroom appearance.

The defendant has sent

_____ as they call them here at _____ or

letters of request to the _____ Police Department for the investigation and criminal charging of officer _____ for the _____ Courtroom assault captured on Courtroom Security Camera but has never once been responded to by the authorities, leaving me without recourse of relief and continually suffering bias and real threat of future violence.

I ask the

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

_____ who oversees the Jurisdiction in this matter to act upon the

Page four

allegations presented herein, to properly investigate the matter and take the appropriate action necessary to ensure the safety and security of anyone, myself included within the Courthouse in Judge Court room.

Thank you.

Sir this is not the only egregious Juridical malfeasance performed by Judge and prosecutor of the Superior Court.

on approximately , or a Court date nearly approximating that one, Judge stated in open Court "well you wouldn't be here, if you wouldn't have stole the " or vice versa "well if you wouldn't have stole the Then you wouldn't be here". This is in reference to my alleged theft of A in my Court Case. as you can ascertain from this statement as in a pre-trial defendant, that this is a clearly predisposed bias determination of the defendant's alleged crime. Judge being an impartial jurist in the matter, precludes such Juridical misconduct, and requires an action of recusement. i.e. in the "better world", alas we are within this inartistically fallible one were we should be held accountable for our

Page five

indiscretions in the hope of rehabilitating our errors. But this misapplied to Judge or Judge refused to acknowledge any Juridical misconduct and to compound his golly states on ensuing Court record that he "did not and would not make such an error or Bias Statement.

yet in fact Judge did indeed make this predisposed statement and then on aggravated the error committing another Juridical Criminal act by then compounding the Jurist bias with asserting on Court record that he did not and would not state such a bias statement and thus perjured himself, adding perjury to his itinerary of Juridical misconduct, but not to be remiss in further indiscretion denies the defendant to access the Court record to prove the misconduct even took place.

The defendant had motioned over and over to have accessibility to the audio, video, transcript-ual record to prove the assault by officer and predisposed bias and perjural misconduct by Judge

The defendant has formally complained to the prosecutor to per view the Court records to right the wrongs but am left with a dearth of Justice.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**