

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-122

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 16, 2020

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge issued improper rulings in a child custody matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 16, 2020.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2020-122

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In recent hearings, and Mother has presented the attached 2 page list of Parenting time days that Father has arbitrarily denied Mother. Mother has asked Judge for make up time and on both occasions Judge has made up one excuse after another while the child sits at Father's home alone unsupervised. Computer records show daughter has been meeting strangers online for anonymous sexual encounters on more than a occasions. First Judge denied all petitions based upon ADA accomodations, next she denied everything because the forms were not filled out right.

We are in a "Court of Equity" which exists for the purpose of the Judge having the flexibility to rule in a just manner even if all the documents are not perfect. Judge is making excuses for not doing her job. She needs to be sanctioned or removed. She is a danger to the safety of the community and the children she is supposedly providing for the "best interests". Worst of all, in this and other known cases she is undermining the public trust in the integrity of the Judicial system. Family Court has become known for placing the child with the abusive parent because they know the protective parent will spend their life savings to protect their children. People are refusing to get married thinking they can somehow avoid the corruption and catastrophe of Family Court they experienced as a child.

Now we will discover if the CJC - Commission on Judicial Conduct will do anything to protect the People. Mother's parental rights are continuously being violated as plain as day. Week after week Mother's Court Ordered parenting time comes and goes. Judge has every opportunity and authority to correct this by signing a simple minute entry and she refuses to enforce her own Court Ordered Parenting Plan. Please have Judge sanctioned and reassigned to traffic court or justice of the peace so that the People, Families, and Children will be in far less danger.

Judges are lawyers. It is obvious to any reasonable person in family court that judges are lawyers helping other lawyers steal money - people's life savings. What is the CJC? Judges protecting the People? Or Judges protecting Judges, which must also be lawyers protecting lawyers?

Mother is not interested in spending and years in the Court of Appeals to get the opinion of more Judges. Children are growing up and Mother wants her parenting time restored immediately and if the CJC is ineffective then why not admit the truth so we can get busy defunding, and eliminate it?

If the CJC decides to rule against Mother's requests, please provide findings of fact and conclusions of law and cite your authorities such as - Mother's right to parent her own child, et al.

There is no school conflict or any other reason to continue this judicial anarchy. Mother is owed back parenting time days and wishes to collect immediately.

/s/

## - Parenting Time Allowed by Father

Order	Date	Type of Parenting Time	Received	Days	Reason	Make up
1		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
2		Mother's Birthday	No		Excuse	No
3		Child Initiated Visit	Yes			
4		Every other weekend	Yes			
5		Holiday	No		Excuse	No
6		Reg Schedule + 1 Week	No		Excuse	No
7		Additional Summer week	No		Excuse	No
8		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
9		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
10		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
11		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
12		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
13		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
14		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
15		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
16		Holiday - day weekend	No		Excuse	No
17		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
18		Holiday	No		Excuse	No
19		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
20		Holiday - day weekend	No		Excuse	No
21		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
22		Holiday	No		Excuse	No
23		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
24		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
25		Holiday -	No		Excuse	No
26		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
27		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
28		Holiday - day weekend	No		Excuse	No
29		Child Initiated Visit	Yes			
30		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
31		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
32		Promised make up	No		Excuse	No
33		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
34		Every other weekend	No		Excuse	No
35		Spring Break	Yes			

Total Every other weekend - only Received

Total Holidays - only Received

Total Extra initiated visits

Total Mother Daughter days

Out of        days Total =        No Parenting Time as Ordered by the Court

Mother is owed        days.

4 COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA

5 PETITIONER,

Case No.:

6  
7 vs.

MOTION TO ENFORCE PARENTING  
TIME ORDERS, PROHIBIT P.A.,  
AND NOTICE OF JURY VERDICT

8 RESPONDENT,

Honorable Judge

9  
10 In the hearing Judge said we needed to ask the Court to enforce the parenting  
11 time orders, so here it is. Please see the attached complaint to the CJC for court orders requested  
12 to resolve this matter. Also please order that disparaging remarks against the other parent are  
13 strictly prohibited. Upon presentation of evidence constituting probable cause, the alleged  
14 offender shall be immediately arrested and brought before the court for sanctions.

15  
16 Mother advised the court that she was prepared to furnish her own private jury  
17 if the Court did not wish to furnish one of their own. On all documents we ask for findings of  
18 fact and conclusions of law but get no response. Therefore, the Court has agreed by acquiescence  
19 that Mother may go ahead and furnish her own Jury and the verdict may be expected by

20  
21 As we know, a jury verdict is superior to Judicial orders per the amendment "a  
22 Jury verdict may not be reexamined in any court." The evidence furnished to the Jury will simply  
23 be the record of Fathers non-compliance with Judicial orders. Again, the authority for this is AZ  
24 Const Art 2 Sect 23 "Right to a Jury is inviolate" and the 10<sup>th</sup> amendment "the people". ARFLP  
25 is silent on this matter, thus there is simply nothing else to consider. Only SCOTUS case law.

26  
27 Sincerely,

/s/

Mother

28 MOTION TO ENFORCE PARENTING TIME, PROHIBIT P.A. AND JURY VERDICT - 1 OF 2

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Certificate of Distribution

Copies to: Clerk, Judge, Father, Mother, CFSS

Mailed and Emailed to Father

Notes:

A) Scotus case law: Both Minneapolis & St. Louis R. Co. v Bombolis, 241 U.S. 211 (1916); and United Gas Public Service Co. v. Texas, 303 U.S. 123 (1938) affirm that States are not required to furnish Juries in civil cases and that for any such Right which cannot be violated, there is nothing that prohibits a private person from furnishing as in this example, their own Jury. Anything a citizen is not prohibited from doing, that citizen is in turn allowed to do.

B) It has become apparent that most Judges and lawyers know little or nothing about the US and State Constitutions, or that Constitutional authorities are clearly superior to any court rules, or absence of court rules. Indeed, ARCP #38 also says "inviolable". Thus, any debate over whether a Jury is prohibited under any or all circumstances would obviously be non-sense. Any lawyer who paid good money to a law school and was never taught the US and State Constitutions as a solid foundation of law, should demand a refund from such a school, and demand that they cease fraudulently misrepresenting themselves as a law school.

C) In addition to the usual findings of fact and conclusions of law, if the Judge believes that Parental Alienation is not child abuse and thus in the child's best interest, then by all means please explicitly include this provision in the Court's response. This would establish case precedent so that everyone may abuse their children in a similar manner as directed by the court.