

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-178

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 4, 2019

The Complainant alleged a pro tem municipal court judge abused his discretion in issuing a protective order.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Gus Aragón and Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 4, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-178

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____

Judge's Name: HON.

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I NEVER came near the plaintiff,
THIS is a case of Discrimination and
HAS received
Certified MAIL WITH Signature receipt.
received information, within
Emails, and in THE Certified MAIL
document, which
Lawyers violated; and several
other; and the
has provided a document proving THAT.
IS also named in a
Lawsuit for, DENYING ME TRIAL; ETHICS
Violations; Incompetent Council; THIS injunction
IS A COVER FOR THE PUBLIC CORRUPTION HE
IS INVOLVED IN, AND to prohibit me from
DISCUSSING THE Contents THAT
HAS received by way of Certified MAIL IS
AN INFRINGEMENT ON MY FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS
Hon. gave THIS order of protection
BASED OFF OTHER FACTS - "THIS IS"



On

<

> wrote:

After a careful look at the information you have provided and after discussing this with our attorney, I suggest that you seek legal counsel. The allegations you have made are best handled in a court as they are beyond my ability or authority to sort out due to their legal nature. I would also suggest that you work closely with _____ to assist you.

Thank you for reaching out and I wish you all the best,

—

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

TO:
TO:

[Redacted]

* Reporter
Statement from you.
Her email is;

would like a

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

* DR

[Redacted]

DENIED

Hand by

[Redacted]

(Doc)

[Redacted]

Address of Lawyer and/or Firm

Look Up Lawyer Name and Address

Search

enter lawyer or firm name

First Name

Last Name

Firm Name

Address

City

State

Arizona

Zip Code

Phone Number

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**