

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 19-102

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 24, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his speedy trial rights, refused to provide him with unaltered transcripts, and improperly denied his motion to dismiss.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 24, 2019.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-102

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

① Judge \_\_\_\_\_ tried to have me classified under Rule 11 over a year ago in order to avoid the obvious judicial misconduct in my case and circumvent my due process rights.

② Judge \_\_\_\_\_ denied my Rule 8 and waived my rights because he claimed that I kept asking for the original, unaltered transcripts from my first trial, which to date, I have still not received.

③ Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has not given me opportunity in court for an evidentiary hearing or allowed a display of documented evidence that will prove that both the transcripts and videos of my prior trials have been altered, thus impeding my ability to prove my innocence and/or prepare for my next trial. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ purposely uses his power and discretion to suppress the facts that will clearly demonstrate fraud and corruption by the state on account of my case.

④ Judge \_\_\_\_\_ denied my motion to dismiss on \_\_\_\_\_ claiming that the transcripts given to me were duplicates. However, there is obvious inconsistencies between the second trial transcripts and video record. As well, video does not exist (due to audio/video not working) from my first trial and thus my altered transcripts cannot be verified for testimony accuracy. The Judge set my next trial to start in \_\_\_\_\_ days without my full disclosure.