

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-070

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 10, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner conducted a “sham” grand jury proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer’s legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2019.

2019-070

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

complainant that has committed
criminal and ethical violations when he
conducted a " "grand jury proceeding
in resulting in a
county criminal indictment for fraud, forgery,
and identity theft in the matter of State vs.

attorney contends that
published a
Maricopa County incident report
under the false persona
person who does not exist.

In support of false
incident report, attorney
and attorney

presented
reports and indictment to
and the grand jury. (see grand
jury transcript and incident report).

W vs. Christman, (2015) 111200605 (unlawful trading software)

argues that voluntarily,
knowingly, and intelligently took attorney
to the grand jury, indicting him on incident report
malicious allegations that diverted

Rule 1.1, 1.2, 2.10, and 2.15 violations
under Rule 81, Az. Code of Judicial Conduct

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

corporate proceeds into personal accounts,
is the sole-member and resident

statutory agent of
Liability Companies (approx. annually)
and one limited liability company in
he contends that he is unable to

encumber and
mail fraud collection schemes but when his
U.S. Judge in got involved,
files "criminal cases to get himself out
of his Matter of Koch, 181 Ariz 352 (1995).

⇒ State vs. McGettrick, 40 Ohio App. 3d 25 (1985) ←
asserts that

grand
jury proceeding; (1) Deprived him of his rights,
in violation of 18 USC 242, (2) Obstructs Justice,
in violation of 18 USC 1512, and (3) False Declarations,
in violation of 18 USC 1623, he contends that under
Rule 42, Rules of Professional Conduct for Lawyers,
that and violate ER. 3.1,
maintaining a frivolous criminal proceeding and
should be sanctioned under Rule 63, Sup. Ct. R.
transfer to permanent disability status.

⇒ In re Abrams, 227 Ariz 248 (2011), In re Pausley,
208 Ariz 27 (2004). Investigation Requested.