

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-034

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 26, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in unconstitutional practices.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 26, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-034

CONTINUOUS MANIFESTATION'S OF INJUSTICE
* ABUSE OF DISCRETION VIOLATING CONSTITUTION AND LAWS
COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

SEE: HANDWRITTEN COPIES ATTACHED
ON - (JUDICIAL ACTION TAKEN)
ON FAILING TO TAKE ACTION

SEE: CASE NUMBERS

(NOTICE
ETHICS
VIOLATIONS)

OFFICIAL DOCKETING DISPLAY RECORD FOR
EXTENSIVE PRE-TRIAL MOTION (SUBMITTED TO CLERK
OF COURTS, STATE WMT OF HABERUS CORPUS

(CRIMES
COMMITTED
TO INDICT)

MOTIONS TO REMAND BACK TO
MOTIONS FOR CHANGE OF COUNSEL TIMELY SUBMITTED
TO CLERK OF COURTS FOR DOCKETING RECORD AND REVIEW,

* SEE: ATTACHED STATEMENT OF COMPLAINTS FRAUDULENTLY BEING CONCERNED

⊗ JUDGE

FOSTERING UNCONSTITUTIONAL

PRACTICES BEFORE THE

COMMITTED BEFORE THE

AND CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES
(TO ILLEGALLY INDICT)

AND CHANGE THIS PETITIONER

PURSUANT TO RULE 6 ... RIGHT TO COUNSEL, RULE 12.9 REMAND
BACK TO RULE 16.4 DISMISSAL'S ...

* BEST I CAN DO UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES
OF DEFENSE AT
(SINCE) SIGNED

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT (

CAUSE:

: RULE 15.2(B)

PRE-TRIAL MOTION, BASED ON FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES AT PRESENTATIONS

SEE: HAH VS. STURFF, 136 S.Ct. 2056 (2016) USING FLAGRANT FOURTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS

EXCUSING ILLEGAL STOPS, PERMITTING OFFICER LAW ENFORCEMENT MISCONDUCT

SEE: STATE VS. WILKES, 96 ARIZ. 184 (1964) CONCLUDE A FRAUDULENT INTENT, FROM SPOILATION OR DESTRUCTION OF AN ARTICLE IN DEFENDANT'S FAVOR, (RULE 16.4), I

HAVING BEEN KAN OVER, AS OFFICER DRIVING AWAY LEAVING ME INJURED, AS FILED BY

PUBLIC DEFENDER, AS AGGRAVATED ASSAULT WITH DANGEROUS INSTRUMENT, ARS 13-1204(A) VIOLATION

CURRENTLY ABANDONED, BY LEGAL DEFENDER OFFICE WHOM ON, COMMITTED

ARS 13-1204(A) AGGRAVATED ASSAULT WITH DEADLY WEAPON AND ARS 13-2904, COMMITTED DISORDERLY CONDUCT WITH KNOWLEDGE OF DOING SO AGAINST

HITTING THE WITH HIS VEHICLE THESE CRIMES ARE FRAUDULENTLY BEING CONCEALED WITHOUT ANY OR LITTLE CONSEQUENCE, CREATING INJUSTICES

REQUEST PROSECUTORIAL INQUIRIES, FORMAL CHARGES AND DEMAND BACK TO, (RULE 12.9, FOR JURY)

DISMISSAL, DATED, SIGNED,

(NOTICE) OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, AND, NO IMMUNITIES TO PROSECUTIONS

THESE CRIMES WORTHY OF INVESTIGATION AS 2. FRAUDULENTLY CONCEALED BY

SEE: GIBSON VS. WAINWRIGHT, 372 U.S. 335 (1963) I AM ABANDONED WITHOUT AID, COMPLETE DENIAL OF COUNSEL, WHILE UNLAWFULLY ACCUSED OF CRIMES.

THIS ABANDONED MAN DID NOT COMMIT SEE: MILKE VS. IVAN, 711 F.3D 998 (2013) ALIBI VIDEO PRESENTED UNDER FALSE LIGHT, A DENIAL OF FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS FROM INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE SUGGESTED AT PRESENTATION

CONCEALING EXONERATORY EVIDENCE FROM THE INVESTIGATING OFFICERS. FROM OFFICIAL PROCEEDING SEE: CLASS 6 FELONIES

THE INVESTIGATION VIDEO REPORT, THAT WOULD HAVE REVEALED INMATE.

THIS CLEARLY 'HAPPENING IN DAYROOM OF JAIL, THAT REVEALS CUSTODIANS OWN NEGLIGENCE AND LIABILITIES FOR ALLOWING INMATE HAZING, BULLYING RELATED TO A POSSIBLE ASSAULT CLAIM. CREDIBILITY ISSUES ARISE, WHILE NOT DOING AN ACT TO PREVENT HARM, CREATING WITH INTENT ONE-SIDED PREJUDICIAL EFFECTS, PRIOR AND AT GRAND JURY #

PRESENTATION OBVIOUS INCONSISTANT STATEMENTS CREATING FABRICATION, FAULTY RECOLLECTION, UNFAIRLY INFORMING PERSONS, RULE 8.3 VIOLATIONS, DELAYS WHOM CHOICE WAS TO OBSTRUCT AND VIOLATING THE TITLE 13 CRIMINAL CODES, VIOLATING RULE 16.1 (B) (1) (C)

(AGAIN) DATED. SIGNED,

NOTICE OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES UNCONSTITUTIONAL PRACTICES
AT PRESENTATIONS #

3.

THESE CRIMES WORTHY OF INVESTIGATION, PROSECUTIONS
THE BLOOD OF THE ACCUSED IS NOT THE ONLY HALLMARK
OF AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL INQUISITION.

SEE BLACKBURN VS. ALABAMA, 361 U.S. 199 (1960)
HAVING MULTIPLE RELATED RANDOM ENCOUNTERS
WITH ME.

SEE: UAH, VS STRIFF, 136 S. Ct. 2056 (2016) AND

SEE: BRADY VS. MARYLAND, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) WHEN
ANY ACCUSED IS TREATED UNFAIRLY, THE SUPPRESSION OF
FAVORABLE EVIDENCE FOR ACCUSED ITSELF SUFFICIENT TO
AMOUNT TO DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS, EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE
FRAGMENTARILY CONCEALED FROM RECORD, SHOWING

DECEPTION AT PROCEEDINGS, REPEATING UNLAWFUL
STALKING, HARASSMENT, HOSTILE PROSECUTING, WHILE DEPRIVING
MY IN FACTS. VIOLATION IN PUB 15.2 (B)

DISCLOSURE OF DEFENSES, PERJURY

WHENEVER, IN FOOT DRIVING AWAY LEAVING
ME INJURED, FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT OF CRUELTY, BULLY
CAUSED ABUSE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE, OF EXISTING
CHRONIC PHYSICAL CONDITIONS, SUFFERING FROM EXHAUSTION
WHILE UNLAWFULLY ACCUSED OF CRIMES, I DID NOT COMMIT,
THEIR BULLYING OF, RESIDENT IN

(IT'S UNFOUNDED)
THEREFORE, REQUEST MATERIALS FOR
PERJURY

AT OFFICERS MISCONDUCT, WARRANT FOURTH
EVIDENTIARY VIOLATIONS, PROSECUTORIAL INQUIRIES, DEMAND
BACK FOR PUB 12.9 AND PUB 16.4 DISMISSALS.

DATED SIGNED

(AGAIN)

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
COUNTY,

SINCERELY ..

SEE: ETHICS VIOLATIONS
SUMMONSING (SPECIAL ACTION)
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS

DEFENDANT

(NOTICE) I WAS RAN OVER BY

AS HE WAS DRIVING AWAY LEAVING

ME): AFTER EXHAUSTIVELY SUBMITTING COMPLAINTS

REQUESTING PROSECUTORIAL INQUIRIES, FOR STATE CRIMES COMMITTED, TRANSITIONING INTO FEDERAL CRIMES, ACTS AND OMISSIONS

SEE: ATTACHED STATEMENT OF COMPLAINTS

AS RESULT OF FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, UNCONSTITUTIONAL PRACTICES AT GRAND JURY PRESENTATIONS, ALLOWING AND CREATING A FRAUDULENT USDOJ ^{community activity} ~~VIOLATIONS~~ ARS 6/3-2705

SEE: BLACKBURN VS. ALABAMA, 361 U.S. 199 (1960) THE POLICE MUST USE THE LAW, WHILE ENFORCING THE LAW

SEE: STATE VS WILKINS, 96 ARIZ. 184 (1964) CONCLUDE A FRAUDULENT INTENT, FROM SPOILATION OR DESTRUCTION OF AN ARTICLE IN DEFENDANT'S FAVOR. I BELIEVE ARE BEING COMMITTED, AS A MOTIVE TO SUPPRESS AND FRAUDULENTLY CONCEAL CRIMES COMMITTED BY OFFICIAL. ARS 6/3-2407 AND ARS 6/3-2809 VIOLATIONS ^{FORGOTTEN VIOLATIONS} ~~CLASS OF FEUDS COMMITTED~~

REQUEST, PROSECUTORIAL INQUIRIES, DEMAND BACK TO FOR RULE 129 AND RULE 16.4 DISMISSAL

SIGNED

DATED

MAILING ADDRESS:

(CIRCLE)

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**