

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-332

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge is biased against him and has failed to correct an erroneous ruling in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 14, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 14, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2017-332

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

<p>Petitioner,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Respondent.</p>	<p>CASE NO.</p> <p><b>OBJECTION TO FRAUDULENT FILING OF ALLEGED ORDER</b></p>
--	---

COMES NOW, \_\_\_\_\_, to object to the fraudulent filing of an alleged Order by the Court supposedly clarifying the \_\_\_\_\_ Minute Entry issued by the \_\_\_\_\_ for and because of the following facts:

1. \_\_\_\_\_ Judicial Assistant is not the \_\_\_\_\_ whom himself has clearly demonstrated since \_\_\_\_\_ extreme bias and prejudice towards the Respondent, due to his gender as clearly evidenced by \_\_\_\_\_ knowing and intentional suppression of evidence and prior rulings in other divorce cases against other male Respondents and favoritism toward the Petitioner a female, due to her association as a) a court appointed special advocate (CASA) preempting removal from these proceedings for conflict of interest; b) Petitioner was associated with \_\_\_\_\_ (an "entity" of the STATE placing children in foster care in some capacity of the state while manipulating the system; c) Petitioner's current status as an employee of the \_\_\_\_\_ d) Petitioner's perjury as a witness throughout these proceedings; d) failure of \_\_\_\_\_ to correct and investigate Petitioner's false and fraudulent testimony and criminal activities in these proceedings.

2. \_\_\_\_\_ is not \_\_\_\_\_ and has composed and submitted in the court an illegal document of intervention under false pretense without finding of facts, conclusion of law, knowledge, consent or signature on the alleged order by the court;

3. The alleged Oder is not in the proper form due to \_\_\_\_\_ lack of professional knowledge of court proceedings and Rules of Court procedure for filling of documentation in the court (See: Exhibit A attached) for and because of the following: a) Filing is not in the proper format and lacks caption. b)

copy sent to Respondent is clearly a scanned document (note gray borders) and not a copy of the original filed with the court; c) document was allegedly filed on the [redacted] with the [redacted] yet there is no initial by any [redacted] verifying the filing and the date of the filing; d) There is no signature by [redacted] to verify the document is real, that he composed it, that he read it and agreed to its contents evidencing the fact that [redacted] composed said document full of errors and fraudulent misrepresentations, somehow filed the document without the knowledge and consent of any [redacted] withheld the document for mailing for four days until [redacted] and mailed it herself from [redacted] as evidenced by the post mark on the envelope (See: Exhibit B attached); e) Respondent did not receive the alleged order until [redacted] Thirteen days after the alleged filing and Nine days after its mailing purposely hindering his ability to respond in a timely manor.

4. In the second paragraph of the alleged "Order Clarifying [redacted] Minute Entry" it states that the parties agreed to a [redacted] to outstanding obligations owed to one another. Nowhere in any of the proceedings is there a mention, explanation or definition of the word phrase [redacted] Wherefore this [redacted] must be explained and clarified to the Respondent's satisfaction;

5. Petitioner failed to go back to mediation and [redacted] failed or refused to order the Petitioner to go back to mediation prior to any further court proceedings. Petitioner owed Respondent child support and had no child support owed to her. There was no judgement for child support and there was nothing for the Petitioner to waive, all child support was owed to the Respondent by Petitioner;

6. Respondent agreed not to pay child support in the future as part of the agreement in court on the tape of the proceedings. Paragraph 3 of the alleged "Order Clarifying [redacted] Minute Entry" incorrectly alleges that " Respondent claims that the court did not order current child support to be paid by the Respondent to Petitioner," this statement is not what the Respondent stated. Respondent stated that the final child support order was inconsistent with what was originally agreed to and was recorded on the audio tape and that [redacted] has knowing and intentional altered the real and recorded facts without cause or justification;

7. Respondent did file a Motion to Reconsider requesting oral arguments, pointing out the fact that it was not what he agreed to and that the calculations were incorrect. Respondent's motion was arbitrarily denied without any finding of facts, conclusions of law or rule of court;

8. This Court must be held to the Statutes and Rules of Court for its actions and intent expressed in open Court to deny the Respondent's his rights in all of these proceedings;

9. The Respondent respectfully requests that a) \_\_\_\_\_ be held criminally responsible for filing false and fraudulent documents in these proceedings for illicit purposes and be held in contempt of court in these proceedings; b) that \_\_\_\_\_ correct the extreme errors committed by him and his judicial assistant in these proceedings from the beginning of these proceedings, c) Otherwise should and must be removed from the bench and criminally prosecuted for his abuse of power and authority.

**Respectfully submitted, this**

**ORIGINAL** and one copy of the foregoing was mailed or hand delivered this  
to:

**A COPY** of the foregoing was mailed or hand delivered this \_\_\_\_\_ to: