

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-331

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge entered judgment against her using an improper name.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 14, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 14, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*



ARIZONA JUDICIAL COMMISSION ON CONDUCT  
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, #229  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

Honorable Justices:

This is a follow-up from your recent correspondence. The [redacted] processed his Judgment with a wrong name for the Defendant. He even had a month to correct his own error, and he didn't. Enclosed are two (2) Judgment notices from him. One is dated [redacted] and the other [redacted]. This substantiates the Honorable [redacted] did not have clear comprehension in this case. All he had to do is look at my file to get the name right. If he couldn't get my name right, what else did he go amiss on in the case? And then, the [redacted] transferred this case with an improper, illegal name. (Enclosed) [redacted] said the Court followed something similar to one of the examples on [redacted] (Defendants' lawyer) written Motions; not the [redacted] File. The Defendants' lawyer had written an "AKA" throughout the case. (Enclosed) -

This altered name (AKA) was mentioned in the SJ Denial, Closing, c). (Exhibit #) for it comes against my Religious Freedom protected by the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment. I legally changed my name due to religious beliefs. As the Constitution has written "...Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness..." My name was changed in the "pursuit of Happiness" after being the victim of child molestation.% For the Court to have made this error several times does not make the Plaintiffs' lawyer superior. Rather it shows he'll do anything to "try" to win and disgraces the Honorable Court.

The law is to be precise, truth does not change. When a lawyer presents to the law various names for one person indicates they have no respect for the truth. Precision is to be admired for it can be difficult to achieve. It's a specific exactness that is acquired with thought and practice until it is mastered. That's why the world likes a precision race car driver, or a precision tennis star. When a person comes into the professional legal arena with various names for one person is wrong. They have chosen to not be precise and walk in truth. There is to be only one legal name for each person. That name is not to change. That's why police ask for an identification. The name on the identification card will establish who the person is to the authority. Truth does not change, nor does the person with a specific legal name. That's why there's a picture on the identification card. This enhances the opportunity for truth to prevail and the person must look like the one in the picture. The law has had enough practice (like a professional athlete) in the identification arena and has determined one name with one picture is required for a proper identification. This works all over the world. Several names for one picture identification is not proper for a proper legal lifestyle. Usually the person with an alias has something to hide, a secret life. The lawyer trying to use various names for one person starts to play a game with truth. Even when there's a legal name change. The old name has passed away and a new name has taken on the identity for a picture identification. When the lawyer tries to enter various names for one person, he starts to play a Houdini to the subconscious. The mind will start playing tricks on a person, causing confusion. IE. Miss X. No, it's Miss Y. No, it's Miss Z. Thus making a \_\_\_\_\_ get all mixed up on a simple eight (8) letter legal name - \_\_\_\_\_ . I used to tell the children in Day Cares, \_\_\_\_\_ Like a \_\_\_\_\_ It's not hard for the conscious and subconscious to think of Christmas for a name association method pattern in memorizing \_\_\_\_\_ Everyone can remember \_\_\_\_\_ very easily. Even a three (3) year old child can remember \_\_\_\_\_ Truth was lost in the Courtroom when the opposing lawyer tried to play Houdini with several "AKA's" and an improper use of \_\_\_\_\_ It was a simple shell game con artists use on street corners to guess where the item is located. A little quick movement of the hands to cause confusion and the watcher becomes confused; not knowing which cup the item is under. The law was not precise in my trial for a shell game was going on by the opposing lawyer. A \_\_\_\_\_ got mixed up on a legal name when a little three (3) year old child can remember \_\_\_\_\_ substantiates my claim.

For simplification I will show the error-

- a) \_\_\_\_\_ - Proper Legal Name
- b) \_\_\_\_\_ - Opposing lawyers' Various Names
- c) \_\_\_\_\_ - Judgment Name
- d) \_\_\_\_\_ - File Transfer Name

It was obvious the Defendants' lawyer was confused; he kept switching from one name to another throughout the trial. He couldn't get the former legal name right - as signed on the documents involved in this case. When my Ordination Certificate was presented, the Plaintiffs' lawyer had to write \_\_\_\_\_ in everything - whether it was grammatically correct or not. For the High Honorable Courts' information, it was grammatically incorrect. Legally the Title is only used after the time of date the Title was assumed. This was addressed in the previous case and was not recognized. And now, for the \_\_\_\_\_ to insult me with this "aka" after it was brought up in Court is very sad. It shows me he has no respect for the Constitution.

This repeated Court clerical error substantiates there was confusion and poor judgment in the previous trial. Using the previous example {a)-d) } makes it obvious confusion was incited by the Plaintiffs' lawyer in this case and is grounds for a Mistrial. The Court and Clerks are to be aware of proper, legal documentation. They had all the Court Records before them. All and the Clerks had to do is look at the Original Complaint filing, that's what did over the phone. It took over a month to get this error corrected. Not until I had to let the Clerk become aware of getting the Judicial Commission involved, did someone decide to correct the error. One month of calling, (including traveling to the by bus (several times) and intense waiting. This was all done for an item that took less than five (5) minutes to correct over the phone.

This is not proper procedure for in the Courtroom nor out.

Psychology teaches a persons' name is important. To address someone with their name and not a "Hey, You!" indicates the person addressed is valuable. An incorrect name is belittling to that person. The party addressing the person has no recognition for the person, no interest in who they are to get their name right. The person being addressed incorrectly is insignificant to the other party. A name identifies the person from another. The Bible teaches a name effects a persons' life and encourages certain behavior in their life to bring out Gods' ultimate plan in their life. A correct name is important, especially in a Court of law. If the Court can't get a name right, what's the purpose for the law? They insult the blind lady and what she stands for. No one is to be above the law.