

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-202

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly attempted to coerce him into changing his decision to represent himself. He also alleged the judge abused his contempt power in an improper attempt to prevent him from stating his position on various issues in court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 28, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 28, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

In court room Hon. John on
 at with
 and I were on record of the court. The first
 act of judicial misconduct and conflict of interest abridging
 the declaration of the judicial proceedings. By trying
 to delay, defer, detain, hinder, obstruct, postpone, prevent,
 Procrastinate, I from having my pro-per status. Also trying
 to coerce me into changing my mind After I clearly
 Stated on more than one accord that I wanted to waive my
 right to conceal and go proper. gave legal advice,
 tried to compel the defendant/coerce the defendant to recanting
 his statement to go pro-per. A direct and dilartate abrigment
 Of his judicial power and statved. as a judge, leader and
 Person in power to the freedom of the public showed bad faith,
 misbehavior, appuling blantan neglect for the pro claimed
 judicial proceeding. Witch leaves ground for litigation or
 litigate. His transgression is now fruit of the passion tree
 and the fundamental error to case. Showing bad faith in
 letting the proccushun know that it is ok and conformed even
 to intenchally abrige or neglect all judicial and U.S.C.A proclaimed
 declerations.

2017-202

In court room [redacted]
about [redacted] with [redacted]
some stand in for [redacted] and I [redacted]
On the courts record judge [redacted] performed judicial misconduct
in the following: rude or abusive demeanor, conflict of interest,
abuse of the contempt power, communicating improperly
with only one side to a proceeding and prohibited political
activity. I informed judge [redacted] of several violation and
fundamental error that has aurred in my case so far. I also
asked the judge to not let this abrigment or appoling neglect of
my civil and judicial proclaimed proceedings to contenne any
more. [redacted] not only allowed it to continue he aided in the
misconduct and abrigment of the [redacted] and
[redacted] Tell in me on more then one
standing that I needed to stop talking trying to imprison me
witch mean to make me shut up about the blatant judicial misconduct
happening on my case. Directly in front of me [redacted] not
only condoned and complied with misconduct by aided in it by
doing an basic fundamental error of unjudicial arronment long
after time limits expired for that proceeding to happen.
Then tryed to cover the mishap or transgression up by
unjustly giving me false redacted documents with false dates on
them in an attempt to shrowed the fundamental error that
ocured. I condome [redacted] intervening in this matter but all intervening
procees was in favor of the state and prosecution witch is evident of
an impartial bias judgement witch is another violation of my
fith amendment.