

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-189

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly refused to lift an administrative order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Margaret H. Downie did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: September 28, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 28, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-189

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

_____ apparently denied a new case filing against _____
_____ in which _____ demanded a _____
_____ result of the _____ denying him _____ be provided as a
_____ is removed from _____ This is especially egregious because the _____ entitlements until he
_____ from _____ until a _____ refused to remove
_____ was provided as an expert witness.

The _____ can't have it both ways, it either needs to remove him from _____ or provide
permission for a new case filing to seek payment for a _____

Also the administrative order was over _____ and it was already expired. _____ will not fax
or send a copy of the decision to _____ and _____ cannot pull up administrative order decisions.