

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-153

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a superior court judge failed to take action on an emergency motion and application for a temporary restraining order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 2, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 2, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-153

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

We filed an Emergency order because it involved a

A

We filed the case as an Emergency Restraining Order on _

A hearing was set for

The Defendants being

_____ was served.

_____ gave a fake address but one of the _____ who caused the issue appeared.

This Judge never saw or heard the matter; instead set the case for another hearing

Judge _____ does not have the experience to understand the matter and has only been in the

She needs to be removed from this case for she has no idea the stress or what "emergency" means

Her conduct was transparent for she didn't appear!

Just another postponement !