

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-135

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a custody case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 19, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 19, 2017.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2017 - 135

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This case started in \_\_\_\_\_ when my \_\_\_\_\_ filed for a divorce.  
 and \_\_\_\_\_ had \_\_\_\_\_ together \_\_\_\_\_ they  
 for money. At this point I had been \_\_\_\_\_ and I \_\_\_\_\_ She had  
 early in \_\_\_\_\_ due to \_\_\_\_\_ I was \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_  
 The divorce was ultimately granted in \_\_\_\_\_ at which point I \_\_\_\_\_ We  
 have \_\_\_\_\_ without any help from \_\_\_\_\_ continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 until \_\_\_\_\_ where \_\_\_\_\_ left town and moved in with \_\_\_\_\_ seen since she was  
 she \_\_\_\_\_ filed to take the kids in \_\_\_\_\_ after \_\_\_\_\_ found  
 would get money for them. The judge didnt require any \_\_\_\_\_ and  
 didnt order for \_\_\_\_\_ to prove \_\_\_\_\_ We have found that \_\_\_\_\_ lied about having  
 lives with \_\_\_\_\_ in a one bedroom house who was found guilty of \_\_\_\_\_ The  
 judge ordered us to \_\_\_\_\_  
 and again on \_\_\_\_\_ We told the  
 judge that it was dangerous and we didnt have the money, she still ordered it. We then gave her a  
 statement from \_\_\_\_\_ stating that we couldnt because the tires were to bad and that the rubber was  
 split up on one tire with the wires sticking out. The judge then ordered that we  
 she said that we have the \_\_\_\_\_ which we dont. She also said that one of my  
 could do it at which they dont have a drivers licens and they dont have a car.  
 agreed to meet in \_\_\_\_\_ told my \_\_\_\_\_ they wouldnt be here.  
 Even though the court order says to \_\_\_\_\_ the judge want inforce it.  
 is the Attorney for \_\_\_\_\_ and he told me on \_\_\_\_\_ hat the judge and him were  
 and were about to turn them over to \_\_\_\_\_ and I didnt get along. On another  
 day \_\_\_\_\_ told me that this case was a waste of his time since he wasnt getting paid for it and that  
 he had more important cases that he was getting paid for that needed his attention. I told him, that wasnt  
 my fault. He has seen \_\_\_\_\_ I feel it is wrong to send \_\_\_\_\_ without  
 \_\_\_\_\_ since she has \_\_\_\_\_ The safty of the  
 should be top priority. \_\_\_\_\_ hasnt had my \_\_\_\_\_ and never  
 had my \_\_\_\_\_ who has only lived \_\_\_\_\_ I later found out that I could have filed an appeal but it was  
 to late, if the judge had told me that then I would have known and I would have done so. She  
 didnt tell us our rights in that matter. The trial was on \_\_\_\_\_  
 Closing arguments were to be tured in on \_\_\_\_\_  
 didnt turn his in till \_\_\_\_\_ It seems as the judge just wants the case over. She  
 shouldnt have let \_\_\_\_\_ break the court order without consequences, she should have had  
 prove \_\_\_\_\_ had \_\_\_\_\_ she shouldnt have ordered us to  
 she shouldnt have assumed we can borrow a car or that our \_\_\_\_\_ can  
 drive or have a car. She has favored \_\_\_\_\_ through this whole trial. She allowed \_\_\_\_\_ to give  
 evidence that was not given to us and no proof it was real. She didnt even look at the evidance, if she had  
 then she wouldnt have given \_\_\_\_\_ I was rushed during my testimony and told  
 that there wasnt much time so I wasnt able to say everything. I was told that \_\_\_\_\_ and I both  
 couldnt testify but \_\_\_\_\_ both got to testify.