

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-129

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge was biased against him, dishonest, and issued an erroneous decision.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 17, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 17, 2017.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2017-129**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ oversaw my trial on \_\_\_\_\_ During the trial the judge was engaged in other work and did not pay attention to the hearing. You could see the judge typing and working on another cases. It's a known fact this judge has no respect for her chambers and or the people charged with \_\_\_\_\_ You can also see she has a personal and friendly relationship with \_\_\_\_\_ which constitutes a bias resolution for his favor. I firmly believe both their records should be investigated further. I will report directly to \_\_\_\_\_

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ ruled in the favor of the prosecutor with no indication that I have committed the crime for which I was charged. She lied on her minute entry where she found me guilty. She stated that \_\_\_\_\_ Complete lie. I'm sure the court recording can clear this up. She continued to lie when she stated that \_\_\_\_\_ He clearly testified on the stand that \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ She lied when she stated that \_\_\_\_\_

He said that the \_\_\_\_\_ He further stated he \_\_\_\_\_ This is all " \_\_\_\_\_ ' There are several inconstancies with her account of the victim statements. Not one could say that I did something improper other than to follow them. It's physically impossible to do what they are claiming I did. I will appeal her decision. I just feel that the \_\_\_\_\_ Court and all the elected officials are corrupt. They pick and choose who they want to incriminate and have no respect for the law. They have let attempted murder charges slip. The \_\_\_\_\_ has a reputation for \_\_\_\_\_ They continue to lie because no one does anything. This stops with this injustice. I'm sure they will continue to harass me during the appeals process. \_\_\_\_\_ is out of control. It's like a \_\_\_\_\_ where they cover their own backs and do whatever they want. She took an oath to administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich. She can't then choose who to convict based on fabricated \_\_\_\_\_ She had a duty to make sure that there was no reasonable doubt. There is more that a reasonable doubt in my case. Like I previously stated and my attorney said during closing arguments they \_\_\_\_\_ They never stated that I \_\_\_\_\_ Their statements where all inconstant even tho they were all able to listen and perfect their story. She had a duty to listen to the case and make an informed decision. She had a duty to act on her oath. She had a duty to not lie on any court documents. She clearly disregared all her duties and decided to prosecute in order to help her friend. My attorney can testify \_\_\_\_\_ acted on a personal vendetta. He stated he would proceed with the charges because he knew me and I was a \_\_\_\_\_ I have never been charged with any type of such crimes. He stated \_\_\_\_\_ He did not act on the evidence in front of him rather on his desire to inflict emotional stress. He acted on what he believed and not on facts. The \_\_\_\_\_ came crumbling down on testimony.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2017-129**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

If the prosecutor sent the officers \_\_\_\_\_ later (based on their statements) to charge me with the crime and then possibly helped them create the \_\_\_\_\_ we have more than a \_\_\_\_\_ acting beyond the law. As attorneys they have rules and cannot do any of the following: Violate his or her oath as an attorney, or of his or her duties as an attorney and counselor, have gross incompetency in the practice of the profession, and violate the ethics of the profession. These are all rules for disbarment. We cannot have a sitting judge when we know she has firmly lied in order to push a conviction. I ask you investigate her and I'm sure this will just be the start of a lot of gross misconduct.