

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-114

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged various judicial officers issued erroneous rulings and failed to properly perform their duties.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 29, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 29, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2017-114

Continuation

2.

4.

6.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-114

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Code of Judicial conduct 1.1, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.10, all
rules of conduct violated by the above Judge's Hon.
Failed in his duty as _____ over _____ County Judge's
of _____ Hon. failed to give _____ a impartial
assessment of his Hybrid motion (Exhibit(A)). These constitutes
judicial misconduct dates _____ Hon.
fail in his duty as _____ Judge also issues illegal warrant
deem merely acting as a rubber stamp (Exhibit (B)), constitutes judicial
misconduct dates _____ issuing a search warrant after a warrantless
intrusion without questioning the validity of the search. Hon.
fail in his duty to be impartial and neutral in assessment of
probable cause after a warrantless intrusion (Exhibit (C)) judicial
misconduct dates _____ Hon _____ for two years
violated _____ US. Const. rights and Arizona Const. rights, in which
is constitutes judicial misconduct. _____ have well documented
these egregious misconduct. Hon _____ advised _____ to
sign a plea on the record as _____ should presumed to be innocent and
denying _____ a fair trial with ex parte ruling, refuse to give impartial
neutral treatment of the law. Even with inconsistent facts and evidence
refused to lower _____ Band _____ had _____ hung jury, was
unable to get a fair trial, in reference to _____ partial treatment
(EXhibit (D)) Mr. Appolon transcripts of his 1st trial