

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-100

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and denied him due process in a family law proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 14, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 14, 2017.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

Comp

2017-100

Date

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Motion to Dismiss

From case number

Plaintiff

"Dissolution of Marriage"

Defendant

has shown partiality and favoritism  
to "Plaintiff's" council in particular.  
Specifically on the regarding  
an "Evidentiary Hearing on Temporary Orders Set"  
that the respondent had  
proper notice of today's proceedings.

I dispute this statement and require proof  
thereof. has ignored my right to  
due process of the law, and has established  
dereliction of duty in protecting my rights  
under the Constitution of The United States  
of America.

Sincerely,

Please see Attached documents to support this statement.