

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-090

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace violated his rights, abused his authority, and discriminated against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: June 14, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 14, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-090

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

INCIDENT REPORT ON ABUSE OF AUTHORITY, UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, NEGLIGENCE, MISMANAGEMENT, HARASSMENT, FALSE STATEMENT AND PROVIDED UNEQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO DISABLED PTSD VETERAN CASE OF

Based on the violations of The Federal Fair Housing Act of 1968, The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Disability Rights in Private and Public Housing/HUD program regulations:
did wrongfully make false statements and use of provoking/reproachful, negligence, harassment and hate crimes toward a disabled veteran with

1. That _____ did wrongfully deny _____ right to Fair Housing because _____
receiving _____ or because _____ has received
_____ t from

2. That _____ did knowingly and willfully abuse authority by violating _____
rights to have a grievance considered fairly, in a timely manner, and in impartial procedures. Including but
not limited to the right to be free from retaliation for filing a grievance. R9-21-201(A) 12)

3. That _____ did willfully and wrongfully abused his authority, violated _____
due process rights, lied and forged documents, and even failed to be patient, dignified, and courteous
during _____ proceeding (_____)

4. That _____ did wrongfully use provoking/reproachful words to wit:
_____ or words to that effect, towards _____

5. That _____ had a history of practiced discrimination and harassment on _____
records _____ veterans. After he has requested for _____ confidential medical
_____ from _____

6.

I CERTIFY THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND I HAVE GIVEN THIS MATTER TO THE HIGHER AUTHORITY FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION.

Respectfully,