

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-075

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in favor of the prosecution and made a false statement regarding a pleading in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 19, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Commission on Judicial Conduct -

2017-075

Good Morning. My name is _____ I am currently representing myself in a legal matter here in _____.

I'd like to file a complaint on a Judge who has recently been changed. Please allow me to describe _____ was the Judge assigned to my cases before the Change of Judge went through. On _____ continued a date to argue a motion to dismiss because he said he never received the motion. On _____ I was to argue a number of motions, the motion to dismiss was suppose to be one. I couldn't do much but except the fact that the motion would be argued on a different date but I wondered how _____ didn't get a copy. I handed the copy to my former attorney in front of _____ in person and the minute entry _____ recorded such a transaction. The state request an extension of time to respond to the motion and _____ granted it. Later in _____ the response was mailed to me and _____ was listed on the mailing list. It became hard to believe _____ did not have a copy of an original. I suspect him of making a false statement in order to maneuver inside the court and it maybe due to some prejudice or other sociological or physiological politic. Questions like how would he grant the prosecution an extension or receive the response written by the state. That fact alone describes prejudice wouldn't you say. I believe the judge may have showed favoritism toward the prosecution in a sense. Not only that but he places the blame on my former attorney or me. Its hard to believe he didn't get original.

The State received a copy. The minute entries record my giving the motion to my advisory counsel to turn in. Either way there was a violation of my rights because someone tampered w/ evidence. The motion should have been in the Judges hands that day. The same day he witnessed me turn it in, the same day the state received a copy. This complaint should be deemed legit. There is legitimate issues that arose from the non-disclosure of this motion. One of which forced the change of Judge. Originally the change was denied due to lack of evidence. Then a reconsideration was granted when I provide the minute entries listed above. Please check the

when I turn the motion in @ court. when the state request extension to respond and minute entry that shows record of claiming to not have the motion. In the complaint please emphasize the fact granted the states request to a motion he evidently didn't know existed even though he respond to the submitting of it on the record. The computers will allow for easy access to evidence, the case #'s are

Please confirm my accusations and assist w/ pressing charges. Thank you!

Commission of Judicial Conduct

Excuse Me but on a totally different Complaint please draw up one consistent with the false statement I will now describe. This complaint concerns a

Her name is . I would like your offices to look into what I call an official false statement, perjury, also libel and slander. printed this lie on reports, which is an official court document w/out any prior evidence of her accusations. She wrote, I was involuntarily petitioned where I

and I've contacted here @ and submit a statement. I was never charged w/ such a crime. I was arrested for

The cameras & will not show me committing such a vulgar act and I would like to press charges. I also recently received a case # on civil complaint where libel is named as one of the counts. For the record, this statement was extremely intimidating. It is unconstitutional to refer to accusations w/out any evidence, especially on a report that doesn't require such information. The statement was displayed under the background section of the report. didn't offer

the fact that I graduated high school, attended some post-education classes or I come from a humble Christian family. Instead lies were told and this may sound extreme but why lie on me. for that type of lie to be told it questions motive. So does tribunal from different ect. Assist me w/ prosecution please

P.S. I can provide the evidence or check