

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-059

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge issued erroneous rulings because he was biased against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 12, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 12, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2017-059

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The arrested me, supposedly for something I extremely do
not remember. I was charged with
I appeared in in Initial Appearance. I was told what I was charged with.
A bond of was set. And arraignment was set for
I went to Arraignment set for 2:16. I entered a 'No Contest' plea thinking
I'd be sentenced the same day. Instead my sentencing was deferred until
I went for my sentencing with presiding what I was never
told at the time I had changed my plea is that my
would be representing I had no Attorney.
brought misdemeanor charges that were over years old.
This isn't right and went along with did. even
brought up 4 more allegations which weren't adjudicated, yet!
I couldn't hear what said upon sentencing me. I have no prior allegations as
to what I was charged with. Just because bringing up priors that didn't relate
to what I was charged with, sentenced me to days I was told.
never advised me my sentence was days. was even told
how to appeal, nor how to use 21 Rule 32. At the end after I got sentenced, I was told
by an officer, I had to pay a fine of never told me I had a fine.
To me, if never advised me of the amount of sentence I got, or the
amount of fine I have, or the right to 21 Rule 32, then giving me a sentence of days
for my allegation that I have no priors at. was racist and
discriminating against me.

Thank-you.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-059

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I filed a NOTICE OF POST-CONVICTION RELIEF, I REQUESTED for an Assistance of Attorney with my Petition. On the same date, I filed Petition For Post-Conviction Relief.

After (36) days later finally appointed the Law Firm of _____
This was late on appointing an Attorney. An Attorney should've appointed at the time I had REQUEST an Attorney why _____ days later?

An Prosecution Attorney had responded to my Rule 32. _____ And I had replied to Prosecution Attorney's response the _____ I needed an Attorney and had none. This Attorney never associated with me; letter wise or telephones.

_____ days later when I had filed Rule 32, an Internal Conference was finally held. This was the first time I met _____ He didn't want to help me. I don't know what kind of an Attorney was appointed for. He said, "there's nothing I can do for my client." The Court denied my Rule 32 and told me, "to serve no time on."

There was no way I could contact _____ for a Petition For Review. I _____ alone filed a Petition For Review. _____ without question DENIED my Petition.

The whole matter in this case _____ seems to appoint Attorneys that work the Court, solely with the Prosecutor. And seems to not know the difference or Rule 32 and an Appeal. _____ appointed me an Attorney that was ineffective.

Thank you.