

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-025

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace started trial early and made improper rulings in a civil case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: March 1, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 1, 2017.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

2017-025

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

If I ~~owe~~ to be ~~worried~~ for this complaint, I truly apologized  
After which I was ~~sorry~~ too. I ~~refused~~ and  
adversary ~~conferred~~ with ~~confers~~. I indicated in my  
reply this was done by adversary to expect me to go away  
due to no attorney of my own. I used ARS; 22-506, G, 512  
A, B, 45(7), 516 A, & 517 C & provided letters dated  
& via cert. mailings.

On I was ~~supposed~~ to be in court & called several  
times to verify. At I went to file a couple affidavits from  
witnesses who had been subpoenaed before. Clerk said I couldn't  
file. Bewildered, I went to court room sat outside briefly,  
went inside, and discovered adversary, his ~~ambulance~~ & attorney were  
inside seated on Plaintiff desk. I came in, got  
stomped with case, when able to address the Court I said "was  
this being recorded. Then said it "Court" was to start at  
had I been late again like first proceeding, this could be out  
again. So we could stop and come back at

Since the tape I said we could continue. However, this is why  
the clerk didn't allow me to file affidavits.

When reading my complaint & facts, I was told not to even  
attempt I was informed by judge & due to my ARS 221 articles  
he would be hearing.

I presented numerous letters from vendors and actual point  
ruined by negligence.

2017-025

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Is it regular practice to start a case prior to  
debate time?

I was warned prior to trial that adversary had some  
connection with the Court. However, I stated I believed in  
the system.

Like I said in the beginning of this complaint - I apologized  
if I am wrong but things were not level.

Seemingly because I was ill and didn't get back to  
his attorney wanted the court to  
speak with him. Is there a limit on time for me to  
follow up on the damages, and delays due to defendant's  
negligence?

It was very very difficult to ask questions of defendants  
and listen to the lies. I did say the Court has see  
many cases (or something to that effect) and would know  
the truth.

Respectfully submitted