

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-340

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and made improper rulings in a criminal case. The complainant alleged a second superior court judge forced him to represent himself and made improper rulings in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 8, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 8, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

In this case WAS UNFAIR by
 constitutional. Depriving one to confront this/her
 accused. Viewed to have
 transcripts destroyed. To hide her prejudice way she
 discriminated against Defendant to attempt the corre-
 -ption of. It has also been
 discovered the
 and Sheriff Deputies involved failed to turn
 in evidence them.
 From equipment's Hard Drive in Patrol
 vehicles.

recused herself. Case is
Newly Front of IN
= is being

UNFAIR allowing perjured testimony to not be
impeached and made Defendant represent himself
after complained of being on narcotic pain
meds prescribed for . . .

is also part of the
corrupt collusion taking place in Arizona.
They have denied having
. PLEASE VIEW:

Deputy swore he had non-working
equipment. SEE AFTER

COMPLAINT AGAINST AN ARIZONA JUDGE

. has been showing unfair prejudice in the case herein by not being neutral in her decisions of fundamental fairness and being preferential towards the prosecution whom she boldly has a picture of in her chambers as witnessed by counsel has gone against the rules of the Arizona Criminal Code Statutes Rules of Evidence.

Arizona revised statutes rules of criminal evidence Rule 607 outlines who may impeach.

disallowed Defendant in case No. to cross examine the witness. side barred both counsels and Judge said they could not attack the affidavits or challenge the credibility of on the stand concerning his affidavits. Counsel at and Defendant stood up and addressed the court objecting to the hearing and requested he/I be elected to represent myself as counsel was being ineffective and refused to ask the line of questions given by me/ defendant. I explained to the Judge that she was violating my due process as the witness has inconsistent statements in his affidavit versus his grand jury testimony, the judge conceded then said what's the one question you want to ask?"

I asked,

" and said " . Allowing to leave the stand without answering the one question I was allowed to ask, after I had to argue for myself that I had a right to confront the witness. I have enclosed herein evidence of inconsistent statements, and also who affidavits corroborate first account. See page of the Probable cause affidavit versus the affidavit " . Compare these documented facts under oath. See is consistent in his Supplemental report as well as his Interview with former counsel as to the fact of how the defendant removed his own shoes and not as had changed his story to. He said

' in his grand jury testimony which is

inconsistent with his probable cause affidavit that _____ corroborates. _____ has allowed the State prosecutor to use testimony of omissions. All the State witnesses excluded the fact of _____ being released and allowed to use her cellphone after over an hour on the highway and she called _____ to let her know that the defendant /me was being arrested for _____ pulled me from the patrol vehicle after I was secured and pulled me to rear of patrol vehicle and the other Deputies surrounded me and demanded I remove my shoes out on the Highway. I was forced to remove my own shoes, there was no brawl it happened as _____ said I removed my own shoes as he swore in his affidavit. _____ created the escape attempt and fabricated his grand jury testimony to gain an indictment. The _____ has shown unethical partialness towards the State prosecutors in an attempt to shield the truth of inconsistence statements by _____ crime against Justice by trying to suppress the Perjury that's evident. The cellphone record show that these Officers all omitted facts and are now attempting to further violate the Defendants rights by using known perjured testimony the Judge has attempted to suppress by allowing _____ to escape answering question presented left open by _____ All the fact and evidence are herein with the penalty of Perjury!"

Respectfully Submitted:

Signed: _____

Dated: _____